U.S. Sentencing Commission First Step Act of 2018 Resentencing Provisions Retroactivity Data Report



August 2019

Introduction

As part of its ongoing mission, the United States Sentencing Commission provides Congress, the judiciary, the executive branch, and the general public with data extracted from and based on sentencing documents submitted by courts to the Commission.¹ Data is reported on an annual basis in the Commission's *Annual Report* and *Sourcebook of Federal Sentencing Statistics*.²

The Commission also reports preliminary data for an on-going fiscal year in order to provide real-time analysis of sentencing practices in the federal courts. Since 2005, the Commission has published a series of quarterly reports that are similar in format and methodology to tables and figures produced in the *Sourcebook of Federal Sentencing Statistics*. The quarterly reports contain cumulative data for the on-going fiscal year (*i.e.*, data from the start of the fiscal year through the most current quarter).

On three separate occasions in recent years the Commission has amended the federal sentencing guidelines to lower the punishment for certain drug trafficking crimes, and also voted to apply those reductions retroactively.³ Under section 3582(c)(2) of title 18, courts were authorized to reduce the sentences of incarcerated offenders pursuant to those retroactive guideline amendments. The Commission has periodically reported on the number of offenders who received a sentence reduction under those retroactive amendments and presented other data regarding those cases.⁴

One of those guideline amendments incorporated into the sentencing guidelines the statutory changes Congress made in the penalty provision for crack cocaine offenders through the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010.⁵ On December 21, 2018, the President signed into law the First Step Act of 2018.⁶ Section 404 of that act provides that any defendant sentenced before the effective date of the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 (August 3, 2010) who did not receive the

¹ In each federal felony or Class A misdemeanor case, sentencing courts are required to submit the following documents to the Commission: the Judgment and Commitment Order, the Statement of Reasons, the plea agreement (if applicable), the indictment or other charging document, and the Presentence Report. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 994(w).

² Electronic copies of the 1995-2018 *Annual Report* and *Sourcebook of Federal Sentencing Statistics* are available at the Commission's website at www.ussc.gov.

³ See Amendment 706, as amended by Amendment 711, and made retroactive by Amendment 713 (the 2007 Crack Cocaine Amendment); Amendment 750, made retroactive by Amendment 759 (the 2010 Fair Sentencing Act Amendment); and Amendment 782 (the 2014 Drug Guidelines Amendment, often referred to as "Drugs Minus Two").

⁴ These retroactivity analyses and data reports are available at https://www.ussc.gov/research/data-reports/retroactivity-analyses-and-data-reports.

⁵ Pub L. No. 111-220 (2010). Section 2 of the Fair Sentencing Act increased the quantity of crack cocaine that triggered mandatory minimum penalties. Section 3 of the Fair Sentencing Act eliminated the statutory mandatory minimum sentence for simple possession of crack cocaine.

⁶ Pub. L. No. 115-391 (2018).

benefit of the statutory penalty changes made by that Act is eligible for a sentence reduction as if Sections 2 and 3 of the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 were in effect at the time the offender was sentenced. The First Step Act authorizes the defendant, the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, the attorney for the Government, or the court to make a motion to reduce an offender's sentence.

The data in this report represents information concerning motions for a reduced sentence pursuant to Section 404 of the First Step Act which the courts have granted.⁷ The data in this report reflects all motions granted through July 31, 2019 and for which court documentation was received, coded, and edited at the Commission by August 14, 2019.

⁷ The Commission does not collect information on denials of motions under the First Step Act.

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF GRANTED MOTIONS FOR SENTENCE REDUCTIONS DUE TO RESENTENCING PROVISIONS OF FIRST STEP ACT

| District | Ν | % | District | Ν | % |
|------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|----|-----|
| TOTAL | 1,674 | 100.0 | | | |
| South Carolina | 112 | 6.7 | Northern New York | 11 | 0.7 |
| Middle Florida | 110 | 6.6 | Southern New York | 11 | 0.7 |
| Western Virginia | 89 | 5.3 | New Jersey | 10 | 0.6 |
| Southern Florida | 86 | 5.1 | Western Kentucky | 10 | 0.6 |
| Eastern Virginia | 80 | 4.8 | Nebraska | 10 | 0.6 |
| Eastern North Carolina | 70 | 4.2 | Western New York | 9 | 0.5 |
| Central Illinois | 66 | 3.9 | Southern Texas | 8 | 0.5 |
| Eastern Louisiana | 50 | 3.0 | Southern Alabama | 8 | 0.5 |
| Northern Illinois | 47 | 2.8 | Minnesota | 7 | 0.4 |
| Eastern Tennessee | 44 | 2.6 | Northern Texas | 6 | 0.4 |
| Northern Florida | 40 | 2.4 | Northern West Virginia | 5 | 0.3 |
| Western North Carolina | 36 | 2.2 | Middle Louisiana | 5 | 0.3 |
| Northern Ohio | 32 | 1.9 | Eastern New York | 4 | 0.2 |
| Middle Pennsylvania | 31 | 1.9 | Southern West Virginia | 4 | 0.2 |
| Southern Iowa | 31 | 1.9 | Western Washington | 4 | 0.2 |
| Eastern Texas | 26 | 1.6 | Western Oklahoma | 4 | 0.2 |
| Southern Illinois | 26 | 1.6 | Northern Georgia | 4 | 0.2 |
| Eastern Missouri | 26 | 1.6 | New Hampshire | 3 | 0.2 |
| Northern Indiana | 25 | 1.5 | Southern Mississippi | 3 | 0.2 |
| Western Wisconsin | 25 | 1.5 | Middle Tennessee | 3 | 0.2 |
| Eastern Michigan | 24 | 1.4 | North Dakota | 3 | 0.2 |
| Western Michigan | 24 | 1.4 | Alaska | 3 | 0.2 |
| Western Missouri | 24 | 1.4 | Oregon | 3 | 0.2 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 23 | 1.4 | Colorado | 3 | 0.2 |
| Southern Georgia | 23 | 1.4 | Northern Oklahoma | 3 | 0.2 |
| Kansas | 22 | 1.3 | Northern Mississippi | 2 | 0.1 |
| Maryland | 21 | 1.3 | Vermont | 1 | 0.1 |
| Southern Indiana | 21 | 1.3 | Western Arkansas | 1 | 0.1 |
| Eastern Arkansas | 20 | 1.2 | South Dakota | 1 | 0.1 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 1.1 | Northern California | 1 | 0.1 |
| Middle Georgia | 19 | 1.1 | Nevada | 1 | 0.1 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 17 | 1.0 | New Mexico | 1 | 0.1 |
| Central California | 17 | 1.0 | Eastern Oklahoma | 1 | 0.1 |
| Maine | 16 | 1.0 | Middle Alabama | 1 | 0.1 |
| Massachusetts | 16 | 1.0 | Puerto Rico | 0 | 0.0 |
| Middle North Carolina | 16 | 1.0 | Delaware | 0 | 0.0 |
| Southern Ohio | 16 | 1.0 | Virgin Islands | 0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern Kentucky | 15 | 0.9 | Arizona | 0 | 0.0 |
| Northern Iowa | 15 | 0.9 | Southern California | 0 | 0.0 |
| Western Texas | 13 | 0.9 | Guam | 0 | 0.0 |
| Northern Alabama | 14 | 0.8 | Hawaii | 0 | 0.0 |
| Western Louisiana | 14 | 0.8 | Idaho | 0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern California | 13 | 0.8 | Montana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Western Tennessee | 13 | 0.8 | Northern Mariana Islands | 0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern Wisconsin | 12 | 0.7 | Eastern Washington | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 12 | 0.7 | - | 0 | |
| | | | Utah Wyoming | | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | 11 | 0.7 | Wyoming | 0 | 0.0 |

| Fiscal Year | Ν | % |
|-------------|-------|-------|
| Total | 1,666 | 100.0 |
| 2013 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 2012 | 5 | 0.3 |
| 2011 | 60 | 3.6 |
| 2010 | 218 | 13.1 |
| 2009 | 251 | 15.1 |
| 2008 | 229 | 13.7 |
| 2007 | 243 | 14.6 |
| 2006 | 148 | 8.9 |
| 2005 | 117 | 7.0 |
| 2004 | 89 | 5.3 |
| 2003 | 81 | 4.9 |
| 2002 | 56 | 3.4 |
| 2001 | 32 | 1.9 |
| 2000 | 26 | 1.6 |
| 1999 | 22 | 1.3 |
| 1998 | 23 | 1.4 |
| 1997 | 10 | 0.6 |
| 1996 | 16 | 1.0 |
| 1995 | 15 | 0.9 |
| 1994 | 9 | 0.5 |
| 1993 | 5 | 0.3 |
| 1992 | 3 | 0.2 |
| 1991 | 1 | 0.1 |
| 1990 | 5 | 0.3 |

YEAR OF ORIGINAL SENTENCE OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING SENTENCE REDUCTIONS DUE TO RESENTENCING PROVISIONS OF FIRST STEP ACT¹

¹ Of the 1,674 cases in which the court granted a motion for a sentence reduction due to Section 404 of the First Step Act of 2018, eight were excluded from this analysis because the cases cannot be matched with an original case in the Commission's records.

| ORIGIN OF GRANTED MOTIONS FOR SENTENCE REDUCTIONS |
|---|
| DUE TO RESENTENCING PROVISIONS OF FIRST STEP ACT ¹ |

| | | | | | Attorney | for | | |
|-------|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Defenda | ant | Director E | OP | the Govern | ment | Court | ; |
| Ν | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % |
| 1,836 | 1,517 | 82.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 182 | 9.9 | 137 | 7.5 |
| 10 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 68 | 53 | 77.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 20.6 | 1 | 1.5 |
| 52 | 45 | 86.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 9.6 | 2 | 3.8 |
| 92 | 80 | 87.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 429 | 413 | 96.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.5 | 14 | 3.3 |
| 144 | 102 | 70.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 19 | 13.2 | 23 | 16.0 |
| 206 | 166 | 80.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 28 | 13.6 | 12 | 5.8 |
| 288 | 219 | 76.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 69 | 24.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 142 | 108 | 76.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.9 | 27 | 19.0 |
| 62 | 41 | 66.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 19 | 30.6 | 2 | 3.2 |
| 34 | 34 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 309 | 246 | 79.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 2.3 | 56 | 18.1 |
| | 1,836 10 68 52 92 429 144 206 288 142 62 34 | N N 1,836 1,517 10 10 68 53 52 45 92 80 429 413 144 102 206 166 288 219 142 108 62 41 34 34 | 1,8361,51782.61010100.0685377.9524586.5928087.042941396.314410270.820616680.628821976.014210876.1624166.13434100.0 | NN $\frac{\%}{}$ N1,8361,51782.601010100.00685377.90524586.50928087.0042941396.3014410270.8020616680.6028821976.0014210876.10624166.103434100.00 | NN%N% $1,836$ $1,517$ 82.6 0 0.0 1010100.00 0.0 68 53 77.9 0 0.0 5245 86.5 0 0.0 9280 87.0 0 0.0 42941396.30 0.0 144102 70.8 0 0.0 206166 80.6 0 0.0 219 76.0 0 0.0 243 219 76.0 0 0.0 142108 76.1 0 0.0 3434100.00 0.0 | DefendantDirector BOPthe GovernNN%N1,8361,51782.600.01821010100.000.00685377.900.014524586.500.05928087.000.01242941396.300.0214410270.800.01920616680.600.06914210876.100.07624166.100.0193434100.000.00 | NN%N%1,8361,51782.600.01829.91010100.000.000.0685377.900.01420.6524586.500.059.6928087.000.01213.042941396.300.01913.220616680.600.02813.628821976.000.074.9624166.100.01930.63434100.000.000.0 | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ |

 1 Of the 1,674 cases in which the court granted a motion for a sentence reduction due to Section 404 of the First Step Act of 2018, 22 cases were excluded from this analysis because the information received by the Commission prevented a determination of motion origin. Additionally, courts may cite multiple origins for a motion; consequently, the total number of origins cited generally exceeds the total number of cases. In this table, 1,836 origins were cited for the 1,652 cases.

| Demographics | Ν | % |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | |
| Race/Ethnicity | | |
| White | 68 | 4.1 |
| Black | 1,513 | 90.9 |
| Hispanic | 72 | 4.3 |
| Other | 12 | 0.7 |
| Total | 1,665 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship | | |
| U.S. Citizen | 1,622 | 97.7 |
| Non-Citizen | 39 | 2.3 |
| Total | 1,661 | 100.0 |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 1,640 | 98.1 |
| Female | 32 | 1.9 |
| Total | 1,672 | 100.0 |
| Average Age at Original Sentence | | 32 |
| Average Age at Resentencing | | 45 |

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING SENTENCE REDUCTIONS DUE TO RESENTENCING PROVISIONS OF FIRST STEP ACT

¹ Of the 1,674 cases in which the court granted a motion for a sentence reduction due to Section 404 of the First Step Act of 2018, cases were excluded from each section of this table due to the following reasons: missing race information (9), missing citizenship information (13), missing gender information (2), and missing age information (16).

| SELECTED SENTENCING FACTORS FOR OFFENDERS |
|--|
| RECEIVING SENTENCE REDUCTIONS DUE TO RESENTENCING |
| PROVISIONS OF FIRST STEP ACT¹ |

| Sentencing Factors | % |
|--|------|
| | |
| Weapon ^{2,3} | 42.1 |
| Weapon Specific Offense Characteristic | 22.0 |
| Firearms Mandatory Minimum Applied | 20.9 |
| Safety Valve ² | 0.4 |
| Guideline Role Adjustments ² | |
| Aggravating Role (USSG §3B1.1) | 9.4 |
| Mitigating Role (USSG §3B1.2) | 0.7 |
| Obstruction Adjustment (USSG §3C1.1) | 7.0 |
| Sentence Relative to the Guideline Range | |
| Within Range | 71.5 |
| Above Range | 1.0 |
| Below Range | 27.5 |
| Criminal History Category | |
| Ι | 4.2 |
| Π | 5.3 |
| III | 9.9 |
| IV | 9.3 |
| V | 6.4 |
| VI | 64.9 |
| Career Offender (§4B1.1) ² | 57.0 |

 1 Of the 1,674 cases in which the court granted a motion for a sentence reduction due to Section 404 of the First Step Act of 2018, eight were excluded from this analysis because the cases cannot be matched with an original case in the Commission's records.

² This section was limited to the 1,592 cases with complete guideline application information.

³ In 12 cases the court applied the weapon specific offense characteristic and the offender was also convicted of a firearms offense carrying a mandatory minimum penalty.

EXTENT OF SENTENCE REDUCTIONS DUE TO RESENTENCING PROVISIONS OF FIRST STEP ACT¹

| | | | ľ | Mean | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| CIRCUIT District | Ν | Current Sentence In Months | New Sentence In Months | Decrease In Months From Current Sentence ² | Percent Decrease From Current Sentence | |
| TOTAL | 1,142 | 247 | 178 | <u>69</u> | 26.8 | |
| D.C. CIRCUIT | | 238 | 165 | 73 | 31.8 | |
| District of Columbia | 6 6 | 238 | 165 | 7 3 73 | 31.8 | |
| | | | | 75 | | |
| FIRST CIRCUIT | 26 | 256 | 190 | 66 | 23.3 | |
| Maine | 11 | 258 | 191 | 67 | 24.7 | |
| Massachusetts | 10 | 267 | 199 | 69 | 22.0 | |
| New Hampshire | 1 | | | | | |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | | | | | |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 238 | 175 | 63 | 24.8 | |
| SECOND CIRCUIT | 21 | 241 | 166 | 75 | 29.8 | |
| Connecticut | 0 | | | | | |
| New York | | | | | | |
| Eastern | 4 | 210 | 142 | 68 | 31.8 | |
| Northern | 10 | 244 | 170 | 73 | 26.4 | |
| Southern | 6 | 248 | 157 | 91 | 37.9 | |
| Western | 2 | | | | | |
| Vermont | 0 | | | | | |
| THIRD CIRCUIT | 56 | 230 | 173 | 57 | 23.3 | |
| Delaware | 0 | | | | | |
| New Jersey | 8 | 213 | 152 | 62 | 28.1 | |
| Pennsylvania | | - | | | | |
| Eastern | 10 | 266 | 195 | 71 | 23.6 | |
| Middle | 21 | 232 | 170 | 62 | 25.7 | |
| Western | 17 | 214 | 174 | 40 | 18.1 | |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | | | | | |
| FOURTH CIRCUIT | 295 | 238 | 175 | 63 | 24.4 | |
| Maryland | 10 | 226 | 163 | 62 | 26.9 | |
| North Carolina | 10 | 220 | 105 | 02 | 20.9 | |
| Eastern | 64 | 207 | 160 | 47 | 21.1 | |
| Middle | 12 | 246 | 181 | 65 | 21.7 | |
| Western | 6 | 262 | 196 | 66 | 21.7 | |
| South Carolina | 96 | 245 | 178 | 67 | 25.7 | |
| Virginia | 70 | 273 | 170 | 07 | 23.1 | |
| Eastern | 36 | 271 | 197 | 74 | 26.0 | |
| Western | 30 65 | 236 | 169 | 67 | 25.3 | |
| West Virginia | 05 | 230 | 107 | 07 | 23.3 | |
| Northern | 5 | 240 | 189 | 51 | 20.9 | |
| Southern | 1 | | | | | |
| Soumern | 1 | | | | | |

Mean Current New **Decrease In** Percent **CIRCUIT** Sentence Sentence **Months From Decrease From** In Months In Months **Current Sentence² Current Sentence** District Ν **FIFTH CIRCUIT** 105 243 162 81 32.6 Louisiana 48 141 223 83 36.2 Eastern Middle 2 -----------5 252 162 90 34.1 Western Mississippi 0 Northern ----------Southern 3 303 236 67 21.6 Texas 194 86 29.2 Eastern 26 280 2 Northern -----------Southern 5 218 154 63 27.3 Western 14 220 147 73 32.1 SIXTH CIRCUIT 179 67 26.9 114 246 Kentucky Eastern 6 171 150 21 11.9 10 244 Western 183 61 26.1 Michigan Eastern 11 243 175 68 28.9 Western 17 286 211 75 26.1 Ohio Northern 29 252 168 84 32.3 225 Southern 5 169 57 29.7 Tennessee 26 245 27.2 Eastern 177 68 Middle 2 ---------Western 9 246 200 46 17.0 SEVENTH CIRCUIT 126 255 182 73 27.1 Illinois Central 32 263 187 76 26.6 21 265 204 Northern 61 22.4 Southern 20 263 190 73 27.8 Indiana Northern 18 253 195 58 19.8 229 Southern 20 128 101 40.0 Wisconsin Eastern 5 218 169 49 21.9 Western 11 255 189 66 26.1 **EIGHTH CIRCUIT** 98 247 180 67 26.4 Arkansas Eastern 9 291 70 222 27.9 Western 1 ---------Iowa Northern 12 274 190 84 30.6 Southern 28 238 177 61 25.3 Minnesota 0 ------------Missouri Eastern 17 202 170 32 15.8 24 264 92 Western 173 32.7 Nebraska 4 191 152 39 22.7 North Dakota 3 257 175 82 30.0

Table 6 (cont.)

0

South Dakota

| | | | Γ | Mean | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| CIRCUIT District | N | Current Sentence In Months | New Sentence In Months | Decrease In Months From Current Sentence ² | Percent Decrease From Current Sentence | |
| | <u>N</u> | | | | | |
| NINTH CIRCUIT | 35 | 228 | 158 | 70 | 29.7 | |
| Alaska | 3 | 200 | 140 | 60 | 29.2 | |
| Arizona | 0 | | | | | |
| California | 17 | 250 | 150 | 07 | 22.2 | |
| Central | 17 | 258 | 172 | 86 | 33.2 | |
| Eastern | 13 | 222 | 164 | 58 | 25.6 | |
| Northern | 0 | | | | | |
| Southern | 0 | | | | | |
| Guam | 0 | | | | | |
| Hawaii | 0 | | | | | |
| Idaho | 0 | | | | | |
| Montana | 0 | | | | | |
| Nevada | 1 | | | | | |
| Northern Mariana Islands | 0 | | | | | |
| Oregon | 1 | | | | | |
| Washington | | | | | | |
| Eastern | 0 | | | | | |
| Western | 1 | | | | | |
| TENTH CIRCUIT | 25 | 264 | 185 | 79 | 30.3 | |
| Colorado | 0 | | | | | |
| Kansas | 16 | 253 | 164 | 89 | 35.4 | |
| New Mexico | 1 | | | | | |
| Oklahoma | | | | | | |
| Eastern | 1 | | | | | |
| Northern | 3 | 379 | 303 | 76 | 19.9 | |
| Western | 4 | 231 | 168 | 63 | 25.0 | |
| Utah | 0 | | | | | |
| Wyoming | 0 | | | | | |
| ELEVENTH CIRCUIT | 231 | 261 | 187 | 75 | 27.2 | |
| Alabama | | | | | | |
| Middle | 1 | | | | | |
| Northern | 2 | | | | | |
| Southern | 0 | | | | | |
| Florida | | | | | | |
| Middle | 98 | 255 | 181 | 74 | 28.0 | |
| Northern | 23 | 322 | 203 | 119 | 36.3 | |
| Southern | 79 | 254 | 191 | 63 | 23.3 | |
| Georgia | | - | - | | | |
| Middle | 17 | 235 | 171 | 64 | 27.5 | |
| Northern | 2 | | | | | |
| Southern | 9 | 275 | 207 | 67 | 25.4 | |
| Soution |) | 215 | 207 | 07 | 2.3.т | |

Table 6 (cont.)

¹ Of the 1,674 cases in which the court granted a motion for a sentence reduction due to Section 404 of the First Step Act of 2018, in 561 cases the court sentenced the offender to the length of time he or she had served to that date; however, 520 of these cases were excluded from this analysis because the resulting term of imprisonment could not be determined from the records received by the Commission. Another 12 cases were excluded because the court documentation provided did not specify the length of a new sentence of imprisonment but only a modification to the length of supervised release.

 2 The average sentence lengths and the average decrease in months from the current sentence are rounded to the nearest whole number. Consequently, the average decrease in months from the current sentence may not equal the difference between the rounded average current sentence and the rounded average new sentence.