




United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Spread of Rates of Non-Government Sponsored Below Range Sentences All Offenses Koon Period through Gall Period



	Koon	PROTECT	Booker	Gall
N	94.0	91.0	94.0	94.0
Min	0.8	0.5	3.4	6.1
Max	55.9	22.5	30.8	44.9
Q1	4.8	2.6	8.3	12.6
Q3	13.2	6.7	17.6	23.5
Q2	8.0	4.6	12.3	19.6
Mean	10.6	5.5	13.5	19.9

SOURCE: U.S. Sentencing Commission, 2011 Booker Report Datafiles.




United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Time Periods Studied






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Offenders Sentenced Under the Four Primary Sentencing Guidelines FY 2005-FY 2017 N=674,652






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Measuring Judicial Discretion Using “Average Percent Difference”






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Graphical Presentation of the Results





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Comparing Periods for All Offense Types

	<i>Booker</i>	<i>Gall</i>	Post-Report
Total Spread	36.0	49.1	48.9
Standard Deviation	7.6	9.1	10.4




United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Federal Offenders Sentenced Under §2B1.1 FY05 - FY17





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 – Fraud Offenses

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 61
Cases: 7,813
Spread: 52.8
Standard Deviation: 11.2





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 – Fraud Offenses

GALL PERIOD

Districts: 72
Cases: 12,864
Spread: 56.4
Standard Deviation: 11.8





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 – Fraud Offenses

POST-REPORT PERIOD

Districts: 87
Cases: 22,371
Spread: 58.4
Standard Deviation: 12.5






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Federal Offenders Sentenced Under §2D1.1 FY05 - FY17





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Drug Trafficking Offenses

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 88
Cases: 36,770
Spread: 47.9
Standard Deviation: 7.4





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>


USSG §2D1.1 – Drug Trafficking Offenses

GALL PERIOD

Districts: 91
Cases: 48,276
Spread: 51.3
Standard Deviation: 9.3

Legend

- 35% and Above
- 30 to 34.99%
- 25 to 29.99%
- 20 to 24.99%
- 15 to 19.99%
- 10 to 14.99%
- 5 to 9.99%
- 0 to 4.99%
- 0.01 to -4.99%
- 5 to -9.99%
- 10 to -14.99%
- 15 to -19.99%
- 20 to -24.99%
- 25 to -29.99%
- 30 to -34.99%
- 35% and Below





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Drug Trafficking Offenses

POST-REPORT PERIOD

Districts: 92
Cases: 70,043
Spread: 57.3
Standard Deviation: 11.2






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Drug Trafficking Timeline





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Drug Trafficking Offenses

Drug-Specific Analysis

	<i>Booker</i>		<i>Gall</i>		Post-Report	
	Total Spread	Standard Deviation	Total Spread	Standard Deviation	Total Spread	Standard Deviation
Powder Cocaine	32.9	6.2	47.6	9.4	61.1	12.4
Crack Cocaine	34.1	7.6	37.6	9.9	55.1	12.9
Heroin	32.3	8.4	47.2	11.0	80.9	18.3
Marijuana	41.9	9.8	57.4	13.2	59.9	15.0
Methamphetamine	35.6	6.0	54.8	9.1	52.4	10.3
All Other Drugs	27.9	11.4	33.3	10.6	59.6	15.0




United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Federal Offenders Sentenced Under §2K2.1 FY05 - FY17





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 – Firearms Offenses

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 84
Cases: 14,158
Spread: 46.9
Standard Deviation: 8.3





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 – Firearms Offenses

GALL PERIOD

Districts: 89
Cases: 17,898
Spread: 57.5
Standard Deviation: 9.6





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)



For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 – Firearms Offenses

POST-REPORT PERIOD

Districts: 90
Cases: 29,247
Spread: 55.2
Standard Deviation: 10.0

Legend






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Federal Offenders Sentenced Under §2L1.2 FY05 - FY17





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 – Illegal Reentry Offenses

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 44
Cases: 14,654
Spread: 52.2
Standard Deviation: 10.0





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 – Illegal Reentry Offenses

GALL PERIOD

Districts: 54
Cases: 26,882
Spread: 75.4
Standard Deviation: 14.7





United States Sentencing Commission



Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 – Illegal Reentry Offenses

POST-REPORT PERIOD

Districts: 58
Cases: 39,212
Spread: 58.0
Standard Deviation: 13.4






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 – Illegal Reentry Timeline






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Example of Districts Furthest Above and Below Average Percent Difference






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 - Furthest Above the Overall Average





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 - Furthest Above the Overall Average






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 - Furthest Above the Overall Average





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)


For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 - Furthest Above the Overall Average

Booker

Gall

Post-Report





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 - Furthest Below the Overall Average

Booker

Gall

Post-Report

1 • New York East

• New York East

• South Dakota

2 • South Dakota

• Arizona

• New Mexico

3 • Connecticut

• South Dakota

• Rhode Island

4 • California Central

• New York West

• Wisconsin East

5 • New York South

• Iowa South

• New York East

6 • Washington West

• New York North

• Iowa South

7 • Kansas

• New York South

• Alabama South

8 • Illinois North

• Illinois North

• Oregon

9 • Nebraska

• Kansas

• Illinois North

10 • New York West

• Oregon

• Ohio South



USSG §2D1.1 - Furthest Below the Overall Average





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 - Furthest Below the Overall Average

Booker

- 1 • California Central
- 2 • Vermont
- 3 • Kentucky West
- 4 • Wisconsin East
- 5 • Washington West
- 6 • Delaware
- 7 • Iowa South
- 8 • Connecticut
- 9 • Pennsylvania Middle
- 10 • Colorado

Gall

- 1 • Vermont
- 2 • Oregon
- 3 • Iowa South
- 4 • Wisconsin East
- 5 • New York South
- 6 • New York West
- 7 • New Hampshire
- 8 • North Dakota
- 9 • Utah
- 10 • Maine

Post-Report

- 1 • Wisconsin East
- 2 • Vermont
- 3 • Oregon
- 4 • Ohio South
- 5 • South Dakota
- 6 • California South
- 7 • Rhode Island
- 8 • Utah
- 9 • Arizona
- 10 • New Hampshire



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 - Furthest Below the Overall Average

Booker

Gall

Post-Report

- 1 • **Washington West**
- 2 • Nebraska
- 3 • **New Mexico**
- 4 • New York North
- 5 • Massachusetts
- 6 • **California Central**
- 7 • **New York South**
- 8 • New Jersey
- 9 • Pennsylvania East
- 10 • Iowa South

- 1 • **New York South**
- 2 • Pennsylvania Middle
- 3 • **New Mexico**
- 4 • New York West
- 5 • **Washington West**
- 6 • **California Central**
- 7 • Michigan East
- 8 • New York East
- 9 • Oregon
- 10 • Minnesota

- 1 • Utah
- 2 • **New York South**
- 3 • Oregon
- 4 • **Washington West**
- 5 • New York West
- 6 • **California Central**
- 7 • **New Mexico**
- 8 • Iowa South
- 9 • Minnesota
- 10 • Massachusetts






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 – Statistical Outliers






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 – Statistical Outliers






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>


USSG §2B1.1 – Statistical Outliers

Legend



POST-REPORT PERIOD

Districts: 87
Cases: 22,371
Spread: 58.4
Standard Deviation: 12.5






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Statistical Outliers






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Statistical Outliers






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Statistical Outliers






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>


USSG §2K2.1 – Statistical Outliers

Legend



BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 84 (80)
Cases: 14,158 (13,672)
Spread: 46.9 (32.5)
Standard Deviation: 8.3 (6.8)






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>


USSG §2K2.1 – Statistical Outliers

Legend



GALL PERIOD

Districts: 89 (87)
Cases: 17,898 (17,699)
Spread: 57.5 (38.8)
Standard Deviation: 9.6 (8.6)






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>


USSG §2K2.1 – Statistical Outliers

Legend



POST-REPORT PERIOD

Districts: 90 (88)
Cases: 29,247 (27,996)
Spread: 55.2 (47.3)
Standard Deviation: 10.0 (9.2)






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 – Statistical Outliers






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 – Statistical Outliers






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>


USSG §2L1.2 – Statistical Outliers

Legend



POST-REPORT PERIOD

Districts: 58
Cases: 39,212
Spread: 58.0
Standard Deviation: 13.4





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)



For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Powder Cocaine Analysis

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 46
Cases: 7,585
Spread: 32.9
Standard Deviation: 6.2

Legend






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Powder Cocaine Analysis






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Powder Cocaine Analysis





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)



For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Crack Cocaine Analysis

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 56
Cases: 6,912
Spread: 34.1
Standard Deviation: 7.6

Legend






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Crack Cocaine Analysis






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Crack Cocaine Analysis





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)



For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Heroin Analysis

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 13
Cases: 1,723
Spread: 32.3
Standard Deviation: 8.4

Legend






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Heroin Analysis






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Heroin Analysis





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)



For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Marijuana Analysis

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 22
Cases: 7,566
Spread: 41.9
Standard Deviation: 9.8

Legend






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Marijuana Analysis






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Marijuana Analysis





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)



For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Methamphetamine Analysis

BOOKER PERIOD

Districts: 41
Cases: 6,378
Spread: 35.6
Standard Deviation: 6.0

Legend






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Methamphetamine Analysis






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Methamphetamine Analysis






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Other Drug Analysis






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Other Drug Analysis






United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 – Other Drug Analysis





United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 Matched Analysis *Booker Period*

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases
I	12	15	393
III	17	11	239
IV	17	10	227



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 Matched Analysis *Gall* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases
I	12	22	552
III	17	20	455
IV	17	15	319
IV	21	10	208
VI	21	14	345



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 Matched Analysis *Booker* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	15	393	+13.8
III	17	11	239	+7.3
IV	17	10	227	+7.3
Mean Standard Deviation =				+9.5

USSG §2K2.1 Matched Analysis *Gall* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	22	552	+19.4
III	17	20	455	+10.7
IV	17	15	319	+9.6
Mean Standard Deviation =				+13.2



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Comparison of Primary and Matched Analyses

Guideline	Methodology	Change in Standard Deviation	
		<i>Booker to Gall</i>	<i>Gall to Post-Report</i>
USSG §2K2.1	Primary Analysis	+ 1.3	
	Matched Analysis	+ 3.7	



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.usc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 Matched Analysis *Booker* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	20	503	+13.6
I	13	18	404	+11.7

Mean Standard Deviation = +12.7

USSG §2B1.1 Matched Analysis *Gall* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	32	747	+19.1
I	13	31	785	+16.0

Mean Standard Deviation = +17.6



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2B1.1 Matched Analysis *Gall* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	32	747	+19.1
I	13	31	785	+16.0
I	15	10	233	+17.7
I	16	8	189	+12.8
I	18	7	160	+9.2
Mean Standard Deviation =				+15.0

USSG §2B1.1 Matched Analysis Post-Report Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	45	1,293	+16.2
I	13	47	1,594	+17.3
I	15	22	577	+14.9
I	16	16	391	+13.7
I	18	22	567	+11.2
Mean Standard Deviation =				+14.7



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Comparison of Primary and Matched Analyses

Guideline	Methodology	Change in Standard Deviation	
		<i>Booker to Gall</i>	<i>Gall to Post-Report</i>
USSG §2B1.1	Primary Analysis	+ 0.6	+ 0.7
	Matched Analysis	+ 4.9	- 0.3



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 Matched Analysis
Booker Period

Drug	CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
Cocaine	I	11-20	15	567	+9.8
Cocaine	I	21-30	56	3,792	+8.2
Crack	I	21-30	34	995	+9.4
Crack	IV	21-30	15	330	+4.6
Crack	VI	31-40	34	1,155	+11.3
Heroin	I	21-30	15	1,066	+8.2
Marijuana	I	11-20	20	4,004	+9.3
Marijuana	I	21-30	29	1,666	+8.5
Meth	I	21-30	29	968	+8.0
Mean Standard Deviation =					+8.6

USSG §2D1.1 Matched Analysis
Gall Period

Drug	CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
Cocaine	I	11-20	26	945	+16.8
Cocaine	I	21-30	67	4,836	+9.9
Crack	I	21-30	39	1,236	+12.2
Crack	IV	21-30	16	349	+5.8
Crack	VI	31-40	47	1,633	+12.9
Heroin	I	21-30	24	1,274	+8.9
Marijuana	I	11-20	22	6,333	+21.4
Marijuana	I	21-30	34	2,392	+12.7
Meth	I	21-30	30	966	+11.4
Mean Standard Deviation =					+12.4



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2D1.1 Matched Analysis
Gall Period

Drug	CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
Cocaine	I	11-20	26	945	+16.8
Cocaine	I	21-30	67	4,836	+9.9
Cocaine	I	31-40	24	1,454	+8.4
Crack	I	21-30	39	1,236	+12.2
Crack	VI	21-30	27	840	+11.5
Crack	VI	31-40	47	1,633	+12.9
Heroin	I	21-30	24	1,274	+8.9
Marijuana	I	11-20	22	6,333	+21.4
Marijuana	I	21-30	34	2,392	+12.7
Meth	I	21-30	30	966	+11.4

Mean Standard Deviation = +12.6

USSG §2D1.1 Matched Analysis
Post-Report Period

Drug	CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
Cocaine	I	11-20	36	1,801	+16.2
Cocaine	I	21-30	57	5,749	+13.1
Cocaine	I	31-40	22	2,068	+11.4
Crack	I	21-30	26	673	+15.8
Crack	VI	21-30	38	1,101	+13.6
Crack	VI	31-40	34	905	+16.0
Heroin	I	21-30	43	2,392	+14.4
Marijuana	I	11-20	28	5,501	+20.0
Marijuana	I	21-30	24	2,095	+16.2
Meth	I	21-30	38	1,952	+12.7

Mean Standard Deviation = +14.9



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Comparison of Primary and Matched Analyses

Guideline	Methodology	Change in Standard Deviation	
		<i>Booker to Gall</i>	<i>Gall to Post-Report</i>
USSG §2D1.1	Primary Analysis	+ 1.9	+ 1.9
	Matched Analysis	+ 3.8	+ 2.3



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 Matched Analysis *Booker* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	15	393	+13.8
III	17	11	239	+7.3
IV	17	10	227	+7.3
Mean Standard Deviation =				+9.5

USSG §2K2.1 Matched Analysis *Gall* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	22	552	+19.4
III	17	20	455	+10.7
IV	17	15	319	+9.6
Mean Standard Deviation =				+13.2



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2K2.1 Matched Analysis
Gall Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	22	552	+19.4
III	17	20	455	+10.7
IV	17	15	319	+9.6
IV	21	10	208	+6.1
VI	21	14	345	+6.7
Mean Standard Deviation =				+10.5

USSG §2K2.1 Matched Analysis
Post-Report Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
I	12	18	623	+25.5
III	17	33	1,055	+14.2
IV	17	32	799	+10.9
IV	21	26	599	+11.4
VI	21	24	651	+11.6
Mean Standard Deviation =				+14.7



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Comparison of Primary and Matched Analyses

Guideline	Methodology	Change in Standard Deviation	
		<i>Booker to Gall</i>	<i>Gall to Post-Report</i>
USSG §2K2.1	Primary Analysis	+ 1.3	+ 0.4
	Matched Analysis	+ 3.7	+ 4.2



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 Matched Analysis *Booker* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
II	21	15	969	+8.6
III	21	26	1,767	+9.2
IV	21	17	1,173	+9.4
V	21	10	593	+9.4
VI	21	13	668	+10.7
Mean Standard Deviation =				+9.5

USSG §2L1.2 Matched Analysis *Gall* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
II	21	24	1,720	+13.9
III	21	35	3,043	+9.9
IV	21	26	1,985	+12.5
V	21	15	956	+11.1
VI	21	13	830	+12.0
Mean Standard Deviation =				+11.9



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

USSG §2L1.2 Matched Analysis *Gall* Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
II	21	24	1,720	+13.9
III	10	21	3,908	+22.3
III	21	35	3,043	+9.9
IV	10	15	2,916	+19.9
IV	21	26	1,985	+12.5
V	10	10	1,448	+25.8

Mean Standard Deviation = **+17.4**

USSG §2L1.2 Matched Analysis Post-Report Period

CHC	FOL	N. Districts	N. Cases	SD
II	21	22	2,796	+12.9
III	10	36	6,774	+14.7
III	21	33	4,148	+11.6
IV	10	19	3,747	+19.2
IV	21	20	2,189	+11.9
V	10	12	2,034	+15.1

Mean Standard Deviation = **+14.2**



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Comparison of Primary and Matched Analyses

Guideline	Methodology	Change in Standard Deviation	
		<i>Booker to Gall</i>	<i>Gall to Post-Report</i>
USSG §2L1.2	Primary Analysis	+ 4.7	- 1.3
	Matched Analysis	+ 2.4	- 3.2



United States Sentencing Commission

Inter-District Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices (January 2020)

For the full report, visit <https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/inter-district-differences-federal-sentencing-practices>

Comparison of Primary and Matched Analyses

Guideline	Methodology	Change in Standard Deviation	
		<i>Booker to Gall</i>	<i>Gall to Post-Report</i>
USSG §2B1.1	Primary Analysis	+ 0.6	+ 0.7
	Matched Analysis	+ 4.9	- 0.3
USSG §2D1.1	Primary Analysis	+ 1.9	+ 1.9
	Matched Analysis	+ 3.8	+ 2.3
USSG §2K2.1	Primary Analysis	+ 1.3	+ 0.4
	Matched Analysis	+ 3.7	+ 4.2
USSG §2L1.2	Primary Analysis	+ 4.7	- 1.3
	Matched Analysis	+ 2.4	- 3.2