Appendix A: Bibliography

- American Bar Association. 1968. *Standards Relating to Sentencing Alternatives and Procedures*.

 American Bar Association Project on Standards for Criminal Justice.
- American Bar Association. 1979. Standards Relating to Sentencing Alternatives and Procedures.

 AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION TASK FORCE ON SENTENCING ALTERNATIVES AND PROCEDURES.
- Adair, David & Toby D. Slawsky. 1991. Fact-Finding in Sentencing, Federal Probation 55(4):58-72.
- ADAMS, WILLIAM. 1998. AN ANALYSIS OF DEPARTURES FROM THE U.S. SENTENCING GUIDELINES, 1991-1995, Washington, D.C.: Urban Institute.
- Adams, William. 2003. The Impact of Rule 35(b) and Other Sentencing Adjustments on Prison Sentences of Federal Offenders. (Paper presented at the 2003 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Criminology in Denver, CO.)
- Administrative Office of the United States Courts. 1987. DIRECTOR ANNUAL REPORT. Washington, D.C.
- Administrative Office of the United States Courts. 1992. The Presentence Investigation Report for Defendants Sentenced Under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984. Washington, D.C.: Division of Probation.
- Albonetti, Celesta. 1997. Sentencing Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: Effects of Defendant Characteristics, Guilty Pleas, and Departures on Sentence Outcomes for Drug Offenses, 1991-1992, LAW & SOCIETY REVIEW 31:789.
- Albonetti, Celesta. 2003. Sentencing of White-Collar Offenders Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines. (Paper presented at the 2003 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Criminology in Denver, CO.)
- ALLEN, FRANCIS A. 1981. THE DECLINE OF THE REHABILITATIVE IDEAL: PENAL POLICY AND SOCIAL PURPOSE, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Alschuler, Albert. 1991. *The Failure of Sentencing Guidelines: A Plea for Less Aggregation*, University of Chicago Law Review 58:901.
- Alschuler, Albert & Stephen Schulhofer. 1989. *Judicial Impressions of the Sentencing Guidelines*, Federal Sentencing Reporter 2:94.

- American College of Trial Lawyers. 1999. Report and Proposal on Section 5K1.1 of the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines. Approved by the Board of Regents, March 10, 1999.
- American Law Institute. 1962. Model Penal Code and Commentaries. Washington, D.C.: ALI.
- Anderson, James, et al. 1999. Measuring Inter-judge Sentencing Disparity: Before and After the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, JOURNAL OF LAW & ECONOMICS 42(1).
- Austin, James, et al. National Institute of Justice. 1995. *National Assessment of Structured Sentencing*, Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Austin, Thomas L. 1981. The Influence of Court Location on Type of Criminal Sentence: The Rural-Urban Factor, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE 9:316.
- BALDUS, DAVID C., ET AL. 1990. EQUAL JUSTICE AND THE DEATH PENALTY, Boston: Northeastern University Press.
- Bartolomeo, John. 1981. Judicial Reactions to Sentencing Guidelines. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Beale, Sara 1994. The New Reno Bluesheet: A Little More Candor Regarding Prosecutorial Discretion, Federal Sentencing Reporter 6:310.
- Becker, Gary G. 1968. *Crime and Punishment: An Economic Approach*, JOURNAL OF POLITICAL ECONOMY 76:169.
- Berk, Richard & Alec Campbell. 1993. Distribution in Crack Charging in Los Angeles: Do Statistics Tell the Whole Truth About "Selective Prosecution"?, FEDERAL SENTENCING REPORTER 6(2):36.
- Berlin, Eric P. 1993. The Federal Sentencing Guidelines' Failure to Eliminate Sentencing Disparity: Governmental Manipulations Before Arrest, WISCONSIN LAW REVIEW 1993: 187.
- Berman, Douglas A. 1996. *Is Fact Bargaining Undermining the Sentencing Guidelines?*, FEDERAL SENTENCING REPORTER 8(6):300.
- Berman, Douglas A. 1999. A Common Law for This Age of Federal Sentencing: The Opportunity and Need for Judicial Lawmaking, Stanford Law & Policy Review 11:93.
- Berman, Douglas A. 2000. Balanced and Purposeful Departures: Fixing a Jurisprudence that Undermines the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, Notre Dame Law Review 76:21.

- Berman, Douglas A. 2002. From Lawlessness to Too Much Law? Exploring the Risk of Disparity From Differences in Defense Counsel Under Guidelines Sentencing, IOWA LAW REVIEW 87(2):435-463.
- Bersin, Alan. 1996. Reinventing Immigration Law Enforcement in the Southern District of California, Federal Sentencing Reporter 8:254.
- Bersin, Alan & Judith Fiegin. 1998. The Rule of Law at the Border: Reinventing Prosecutorial Policy in the Southern District of California, GEORGETOWN IMMIGRATION LAW JOURNAL 12:285.
- Block, Michael & William Rhodes. 1987. NIJ Reports. Federal Sentencing Guidelines—Answers to Some Questions. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Justice.
- Block, Michael & William Rhodes. 1989. Forecasting the Impact of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, Behavioral Sciences & the Law 7:51.
- Block, Michael. 1989. Emerging Problems in the Sentencing Commission's Approach to Guideline Amendments, Federal Sentencing Reporter 1:451.
- Blumstein, Albert (ed.). 1983. *Research on Sentencing: The Search for Reform*. Washington, D.C.: National Research Council.
- Blumstein, Albert & Allen Beck. 1999. *Population Growth in U.S. Prisons, 1980-1996*, CRIME AND JUSTICE: A REVIEW OF RESEARCH 26:17.
- Bowman, Francesca. 1996. *Probation Officers Advisory Group Survey*, Federal Sentencing Reporter 8:305.
- Bowman, Frank O. 1996. *The Quality of Mercy Must be Restrained, and Other Lessons in Learning to Love the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, WISCONSIN LAW REVIEW 1996:679.
- Bowman, Frank O. 1999. Departing is Such Sweet Sorrow: A Year of Judicial Revolt on "Substantial Assistance" Departures Follows a Decade of Prosecutorial Indiscipline, Stetson Law Review 29:7.
- Bowman, Frank O. 2001. The 2001 Federal Economic Crime Sentencing Reforms: An Analysis and Legislative History, Indiana Law Review 35(1).
- Bowman, Frank O. & Michael Heise. 2002. Quiet Rebellion II: An Empirical Analysis of Declining Federal Drug Sentences Including Data from the District Level, IOWA LAW REVIEW 87:477.

- Braniff, William. 1993. Local Discretion, Prosecutorial Choices and the Sentencing Guidelines, Federal Sentencing Reporter 5:309.
- Breyer, Stephen. 1988. The Federal Sentencing Guidelines and the Key Compromises Upon Which They Rest, Hofstra Law Review 17:1.
- Breyer, Stephen & Kenneth Feinberg. 1990. *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines: A Dialogue*, CRIMINAL LAW BULLETIN 26(5):9.
- Broderick, Vincent. 1993. Local Factors in Sentencing, Federal Sentencing Reporter 5:314.
- Brooks, Karl. 2002. Sentencing Reform in Historical Context: The Progressive Vision of Sentencing Commissions. (Paper presented at the Society for History in the Federal Government Annual Conference).
- Brown, Joe. 1992. *The Sentencing Guidelines Are Reducing Disparity*, American Criminal Law Review 29(3):875.
- Bunzel, Sharon. 1995. The Probation Officer and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: Strange Philosophical Bedfellows, Yale Law Journal 104:933.
- Bureau of Justice Assistance. 1998. 1996 National Survey of State Sentencing Structures. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 1987a. Sentencing and Time Served. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 1987b. *Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics*. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 1992. Federal Sentencing in Transition, 1986-1990. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 1993. *Sentencing in the Federal Courts: Does Race Matter?* Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 2001. *Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics*. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 2002a. *Federal Criminal Case Processing, 2000*. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 2002b. Prisoners in 2001. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.

- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 2002c. *Immigration Offenders in the Federal Criminal Justice System, 2000.* Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. 2003. *Prison and Jail Inmates at Midyear 2002*. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Bureau of Prisons. 1997. PREP: Training Inmates Through Industrial Work Participation and Vocational and Apprenticeship Instruction, Corrections Management Quarterly 1.
- Bushway, Shawn D. & Anne M. Piehl., 2001. *Judging Judicial Discretion: Legal Factors and Racila Discrimination in Sentencing*, LAW & SOCIETY REVIEW 35:733-764.
- Cassell, Paul. 2004. *Too Severe?: A Defense of Federal Sentencing Guidelines (and a Critique of Federal Mandatory Minimums)* presented at the 2004 Stanford Law Review Symposium: Punishment and Its Purpose, *reprinted in* STANFORD LAW REVIEW 56:1017.
- Carroll, John, et al. 1987. Sentencing Goals, Causal Attributions, Ideology, and Personality, JOURNAL OF PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY 52(1):107.
- Clancy, Kevin, et al. 1981. Sentencing Decisionmaking: The Logic of Sentence Decisions and the Extent and Sources of Sentence Disparity, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW AND CRIMINOLOGY 72(2):524.
- Cole, Kevin. 1997. *The Empty Idea of Sentencing Disparity*, Northwestern University Law Review 91(4):1336.
- Couhenour, John C. 1995. Separate and Unequal: Women in the Federal Criminal Justice System, Federal Sentencing Reporter 8:142.
- Crouch, Ben. 1993. Is Incarceration Really Worse? Analysis of Offender's Preferences for Prisons over Probation, Justice Quarterly 10:67.
- Daly, Kathleen. 1995. Gender and Sentencing: What We Know and Don't Know From Empirical Research, Federal Sentencing Reporter 8:163.
- Daly, Kathleen & Michael Tonry. 1997. *Gender, Race, and Sentencing, in* 22 CRIME AND JUSTICE: AN ANNUAL REVIEW OF RESEARCH (ed. Michael Tonry).
- Demlietner, Nora. 1994. The Nonuniform Developments of Guideline Law in the Circuits, Federal Sentencing Reporter 6(5):239-241.
- Demleitner, Nora V. 1995. Women, Men, Gender, Sex, Congress, and the Guidelines, Federal Sentencing Reporter 8(3):132-133.

- Edmunds, Robert H., Jr. 1996. Analyzing the Tension Between Prosecutors and Probation Officers Over "Fact Bargaining," FEDERAL SENTENCING REPORTER 8:318.
- EISENSTEIN, JAMES, ET AL. 1988. THE CONTOURS OF JUSTICE: COMMUNITIES AND THEIR COURTS. Boston: Little & Brown.
- EISENSTEIN, JAMES & HERBERT JACOB. 1977. FELONY JUSTICE: AN ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS OF CRIMINAL COURTS. Boston: Little & Brown.
- Ellingstad, Susan E. 1992. The Sentencing Guidelines: Downward Departures Based on a Defendant's Extraordinary Family Ties and Responsibilities, MINNESOTA LAW REVIEW 76:957.
- Engen, Rodney L. & Randy R. Gainey. 2000. *Modeling the Effects of Legally Relevant and Extralegal Factors Under Sentencing Guidelines: The Rules Have Changed*, CRIMINOLOGY 38(4):1207.
- Etienne, Margaret. 2003. *Illinois Public Law and Legal Theory Research Papers Series*, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, Research Paper 03-10.
- Everett, Ronald & Roger Wojtkiewicz. 2002. *Difference, Disparity, and Race/Ethnic Bias in Federal Sentencing*, JOURNAL OF QUANTITATIVE CRIMINOLOGY 18(2):189.
- Farabee, Lisa. 1998. Disparate Departures under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: A Tale of Two Districts, Connecticut Law Review 30:569.
- Federal Judicial Center. 1979. *Prosecutorial Discretion and Federal Sentencing Reform*. Washington, D.C.
- Federal Judicial Center. 1987. Home Confinement: An Evolving Sanction in the Federal Criminal Justice System. Washington, D.C.
- Federal Judicial Center. 1997. The U.S. Sentencing Guidelines: Results of the Federal Judicial Center's 1996 Survey. Washington, D.C.
- Federal Judicial Center. 2002. *Guideline Sentencing: An Outline of Appellate Case Law on Selected Issues*. Washington, D.C.
- Feeley, Malcolm, et al. 1992. Between Two Extremes: An Examination of the Efficiency and Effectiveness of Community Service Orders and Their Implications for the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines, Southern California Law Review 66:155.

- Feinberg, Kenneth. 1991. The Federal Guidelines and the Underlying Purposes of Sentencing, Federal Sentencing Reporter 3(6):326-7.
- Feinberg, Kenneth. 1993. Federal Criminal Sentencing Reform: Congress and the United States Sentencing Commission, Wake Forest Law Review 28:291.
- Fisher, Jeffrey. 1996. When Discretion Leads to Distortion: Recognizing Pre-Arrest Sentence Manipulation Claims Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, MICHIGAN LAW REVIEW 94:2385.
- Flaherty, Mary P. & William Casey. 1996. "Judges Hand Blacks Longer Prison Times" *in* District Has Wider than Average Discrepancy Series, #4/5, *Washington Post*, 9 Oct., sec. A, p. 27.
- Forst, Brian & Charles Wellford. 1981. Punishment and Sentencing: Developing Sentencing Guidelines Empirically From Principles of Punishment, RUTGERS LAW REVIEW 33:813.
- Frank, Laura. 1995. "Tough Crack Law Targeting Blacks?" Tennessean, 25 Sept., sec. A, p. 1.
- Frankel, Marvin. 1972. Criminal Sentences: Law Without Order. New York: Hill and Wang.
- Frase, Richard. 2003. "Limiting Retributivism: the Consensus Model of Criminal Punishment," in The Future of Imprisonment in the Twenty-First Century (Michael Tonry ed., forthcoming 2004).
- Freed, Daniel J. 1992. Federal Sentencing in the Wake of Guidelines: Unacceptable Limits on the Discretion of Sentencers, Yale Law Journal 101:1681.
- Gaes, Gerry, et al. 1992. FEDSIM: A Sentencing Impact and Prison Population Projection Model for the Federal Criminal Justice System (unpublished manuscript, on file with the U.S. Sentencing Commission).
- Gaes, Gerry, et al. 1993. 20/20 Hindsight: Effectiveness of Simulating the Impact of Federal Sentencing Legislation on the Future Prison Population, The Prison Journal 73:5.
- GAO. 1990. Intermediate Sanctions: Their Impacts on Prison Crowding, Costs, and Recidivism Are Still Unclear, Washington, D.C.
- GAO. 1992. Mandatory Minimum Sentences: Are They Being Imposed and Who Is Receiving Them? Washington, D.C.
- GAO. 1993. Sentencing Guidelines: Central Questions Remain Unanswered. Washington, D.C.

- GAO. 1994. Sentencing: Intermediate Sanctions in the Federal Criminal Justice System. Washington, D.C.
- GAO. 2003. Federal Drug Offenses: Departures from Sentencing Guidelines and Mandatory Minimum Sentences, Fiscal Years 1999-2001. Washington, D.C.
- Gastwirth, Joseph L. & Tapan K. Nayak. 1997. Statistical Aspects of Cases Concerning Racial Discrimination in Drug Sentencing—Stephens v. State and U.S. v. Armstrong, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW & CRIMINOLOGY 87(2):583.
- Gelacak, Michael, et al. 1996. Departures Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: An Empirical and Jurisprudential Analysis, MINNESOTA LAW REVIEW 81:299.
- Gleeson, John. 2003. Supervising Federal Criminal Punishment: Why the Attorney General Should Defer When U.S. Attorneys Recommend Against the Death Penalty, VIRGINIA LAW REVIEW 89:1697.
- Goodwin, Catherine. 1992. Sentencing Narcotics Cases Where Drug Amount is a Poor Indicator of Relative Culpability, Federal Sentencing Reporter 4:226.
- Gowdy, Voncile B. 1993. Intermediate Sanctions. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Gyurki, Julie. 1994. Prosecutorial Discretion to Bring a Substantial Assistance Motion Pursuant to a Plea Agreement: Enforcing a Good Faith Standard, MINNESOTA LAW REVIEW 78:1253.
- Hagan, John. 1977. Criminal Justice in Rural and Urban Communities: A Study of the Bureaucratization of Justice, Social Forces 55.
- Hagan, John. 1974. Extra-legal Attributes and Criminal Sentencing: An Assessment of a Sociological Viewpoint, LAW & SOCIETY REVIEW 8:357.
- Heaney, Gerald. 1989. What Two Steps Do You Recommend Congress Take to Improve the Sentencing Guideline System?, Federal Sentencing Reporter 1:359.
- Heaney, Gerald. 1991. *The Reality of Guidelines Sentencing: No End to Disparity*, American Criminal Law Review 28(2):161.
- Hebert, Christopher. 1997. Sentencing Outcomes of Black, Hispanic, and White Males Convicted Under Federal Sentencing Guidelines, CRIMINAL JUSTICE REVIEW 22(2):133-156.
- Hofer, Paul J. 2000. Federal Sentencing For Violent and Drug Trafficking Crimes Involving Firearms: Recent Changes and Prospects for Improvement, American Criminal Law Review 37:41.

- Hofer, Paul J. & Mark Allenbaugh. 2003. *The Reasons Behind the Rules: Finding and Using the Philosophy of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, AMERICAN CRIMINAL LAW REVIEW 40(1):19.
- Hofer, Paul J. & Pamela Lawrence. 1992. An Empirical Study of the Application of the Relevant Conduct Guidelines § 1B1.3, FEDERAL SENTENCING REPORTER 4(6):330.
- Hofer, Paul J. & Courtney Semisch. 1999. Examining Changes in Federal Sentence Severity: 1980-1998, Federal Sentencing Reporter 12:12.
- Hofer, Paul J., et al. 1997. Departure Rates and Reasons after Koon v. U.S., Federal Sentencing Reporter 9(6):284.
- Hofer, Paul J., et al. 1999. The Effect of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines on Inter-Judge Sentencing Disparity, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW & CRIMINOLOGY 90:239.
- Hoffman, Peter & James Beck. 1997. *The Origins of the Federal Criminal History Score*, Federal Sentencing Reporter 9:192.
- HOGARTH, JOHN. 1971. SENTENCING AS A HUMAN PROCESS, Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Johnson, Barry L. 1998. Discretion and the Rule of Law in Federal Guidelines Sentencing:

 Developing Departure Jurisprudence in the Wake of Koon v. United States, Ohio State
 Law Review 58:1697.
- Johnson, Molly & Scott Gilbert. 1997. The U.S. Sentencing Guidelines: Results of the Federal Judicial Center's 1996 Survey, REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES. Washington, D.C.: FJC.
- Judicial Conference of the United States. 1995 Annual Report of the Judicial Conference of the United States to the United States Sentencing Commission. Washington, D.C.
- KANSAS SENTENCING COMMISSION. 2002 ANNUAL REPORT.
- Karle, Theresa Walker & Thomas Sager. 1991. Are the Federal Sentencing Guidelines Meeting Congressional Goals?: An Empirical Case Law Analysis, EMORY LAW JOURNAL 40:393.
- Katzenelson, Susan, et al. 1996. Non-U.S. Citizen Defendants in the Federal Court System, FEDERAL SENTENCING REPORTER 8(5):259.

- Kautt, Paula M. 2002. Location, Location, Location: Interdistrict and Intercircuit Variation in Sentencing Outcomes for Federal Drug-trafficking Offenses, JUSTICE QUARTERLY 19(4):633.
- Kautt, Paula & Cassie Spohn. 2002. Crack-ing down on Black Drug Offenders? Testing for Interactions among Offenders' Race, Drug Type, and Sentencing Strategy in Federal Drug Sentences, JUSTICE QUARTERLY 19(1):1.
- Kempf-Leonard, Kimberly & Lisa Sample. 2001. *Have Federal Sentencing Guidelines Reduced Severity? An Examination of One Circuit*, JOURNAL OF QUANTITATIVE CRIMINOLOGY 17(2):111.
- KENNEDY, RANDALL. 1997. RACE, CRIME, AND THE LAW. New York: Pantheon Books.
- KITTRIE, NICHOLAS. 1971. THE RIGHT TO BE DIFFERENT: DEVIANCE AND ENFORCED THERAPY. New York: Penguin Books.
- Krauss, D. Adjusting Risk of Recidivism: Do Judicial Departures Worsen or Improve Recidivism Prediction under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines? BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES AND THE LAW (forthcoming 2004).
- Langan, Patrick A. 1992. Federal Prosecutor Application of Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Laws: Racially Discriminatory? Widely Evaded? (unpublished manuscript, on file with the Bureau of Justice Statistics).
- Langan, Patrick A. 1996. *State Court Sentencing of Convicted Felons*, 1992. Washington, D.C.: Office of Justice Programs, BJS.
- Langan, Patrick A. 2001. Effect of Choice of Measure on the Size of a Racial Disparity, JOURNAL OF QUANTITATIVE CRIMINOLOGY 17(3):273-290.
- Lear, Elizabeth. 1993. Is Conviction Irrelevant?, UCLA LAW REVIEW 40:1179-1239.
- Lee, Cynthia. 1994. Prosecutorial Discretion, Substantial Assistance, and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, UCLA LAW REVIEW 42:105.
- Lee, Cynthia. 1997. "A New Sliding Scale of Deference" Approach to Abuse of Discretion:

 Appellate Review of District Court Departures Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines,

 AMERICAN CRIMINAL LAW REVIEW 35:1.
- Lowenthal, Gary. 1993. Mandatory Sentencing Laws: Undermining the Effectiveness of Determinant Sentencing Laws, California Law Review 81:61.

- Ludwing, Jens & Philip Cook. 2003. EVALUATING GUN POLICY: EFFECTS ON CRIME AND VIOLENCE. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press.
- Marcus, Stanley. 1993. Substantial Assistance Motions: What Is Really Happening?, Federal Sentencing Reporter 6(1):6-8.
- Marks, Diane. 2002. Prosecutorial Discretion in Connecticut: A Lesson in Indirect Constraint. (Paper presented at the Symposium on Federal Sentencing Guidelines, Yale Law School, Nov. 8, 2002.)
- Martinson, Robert. 1974. What Works?—Questions and Answers About Prison Reform, The Public Interest 35:22.
- Marvell, Thomas & C. Moody. 1996. *Determinate Sentencing and Abolishing Parole: The Long-Term Impacts on Prisons and Crime*, CRIMINOLOGY 34:107.
- Maxfield, Linda. 2003. Aggravated Felonies and §2L1.2 Unlawful Reentry Offenders: Simulating the Impacts of Proposed Guideline Amendments. George Mason Law Review 11(3):527.
- Maxfield, Linda & John Kramer. 1998. Substantial Assistance: An Empirical Yardstick Gauging Equity in Current Federal Policy and Practice. Washington, D.C.
- McDonald, Douglas C. & Kenneth E. Carlson. 1993. *Sentencing in the Federal Courts: Does Race Matter?* Washington, D.C.: Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- Meier, Thomas. 1993. A Proposal to Resolve the Interpretations of "Mixture or Substance" Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW & CRIMINOLOGY 84:377.
- Meierhoefer, Barbara S. 1992a. *Individualized and Systematic Justice in the Federal Sentencing Process*, American Criminal Law Review 29(3):889.
- Meierhoefer, Barbara S. 1992b. *The Role of Offense and Offender Characteristics in Federal Sentencing*, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW 66(1):367.
- Miethe, Terance D. 1987. Charging and Plea Bargaining Practices Under Determinate

 Sentencing: An Investigation of the Hydraulic Displacement of Discretion, JOURNAL OF
 CRIMINAL LAW & CRIMINOLOGY 78:155.
- Miethe, Terance D. & Charles A. Moore. 1985. Socioeconomic Disparities Under Determinate Sentencing Systems: A Comparison of Pre- and Post-Guideline Practices in Minnesota, CRIMINOLOGY 23:337.

- Miller, Marc. 1992. Purposes at Sentencing, Southern California Law Review 66:413.
- Miller, Marc & Ronald Wright. 1999. Your Cheatin' Heart(land): The Long Search for Administrative Sentencing Justice, Buffalo Criminal Law Review 2.
- Miller, Marc & Ronald Wright. 2002. *The Screening/Bargaining Tradeoff*, STANFORD LAW REVIEW 54:29.
- Monahan, John. 1982. The Case for Prediction in the Modified Desert Model of Criminal Sentencing, International Journal of Law & Psychiatry 5:103
- Morris, Norval. 1977. Towards Principled Sentencing, Maryland Law Review 37:67.
- MORRIS, NORVAL & MICHAEL TONRY. 1990. BETWEEN PRISON AND PROBATION: INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENTS IN A RATIONAL SENTENCING SYSTEM. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Murphy, Diana. 2002. Inside the United States Sentencing Commission: Federal Sentencing Policy in 2001 and Beyond, IOWA LAW REVIEW 87(2):359.
- Mustard, David. 2001. Racial, Ethnic, and Gender Disparities in Sentencing: Evidence from the U.S. Federal Courts, JOURNAL OF LAW & ECONOMICS 44(1):285.
- Musto, David. 1999. The American Disease: Origins of Narcotics Control, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Nagel, Ilene H. 1990. Structuring Sentencing Discretion: The New Federal Sentencing Guidelines, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW & CRIMINOLOGY 80(4):883-943.
- Nagel, Ilene H. & Stephen J. Schulhofer. (1992). A Tale of Three Cities: An Empirical Study of Charging and Bargaining Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW 66(1):501.
- National Commission on Reform of the Federal Criminal Laws. 1971. *Final Report*. Washington, D.C.
- National Institute of Justice. James Austin, et al. 1995. *National Assessment of Structured Sentencing*. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Newton, Phyllis, et al. 1995. Gender, Individuality, and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, FEDERAL SENTENCING REPORTER 8(3):1-48.
- O'Hear, Michael. 2002. National Uniformity/Local Uniformity: Reconsidering the Use of Departures to Reduce Federal—State Sentencing Disparities, IOWA LAW REVIEW 87:721.

- PARENT, DALE. G. 1988. STRUCTURING CRIMINAL SENTENCES: THE EVOLUTION OF MINNESOTA'S SENTENCING GUIDELINES. Boston: Butterworth Legal Publishers.
- Parent, Dale. 1992. What Did the United States Sentencing Commission Miss?, YALE LAW JOURNAL 101:1773.
- Parker, Jeffrey S. & Michael K. Block. 1989. *The Sentencing Commission, P.M. (Post-Mistretta): Sunshine or Sunset?*, AMERICAN CRIMINAL LAW REVIEW 27:289.
- Parker, Jeffrey S. & Michael K. Block. 2001. *The Limits of Federal Criminal Sentencing Policy;* or Confessions of Two Reformed Reformers, GEORGE MASON LAW REVIEW 9:1001.
- Partridge, Anthony & William B. Eldridge. 1974. *The Second Circuit Study: a Report to the Judges of the Second Circuit*. Washington, D.C.: FJC.
- Payne, Abigail. 1997. Does Inter-Judge Disparity Really Matter—An Analysis of the Effects of Sentencing Reforms in Three Federal District Courts, International Review of Law & Economics 17(3):337.
- Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing. 2002 Annual Report.
- Pope, Carl E. 1976. The Influence of Social and Legal Factors on Sentencing Dispositions: A Preliminary Analysis of Offender Based Transaction Statistics, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE 4:203
- Raeder, Myrna. 1993. Gender and Sentencing: Single Moms, Battered Women, and Other Sex-Based Anomalies in the Gender-Free World of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, Pepperdine Law Review 20:905-990.
- Raggi, Reena. 1993. Local Concerns, Local Insights: Further Reasons for More Flexibility in Guideline Sentencing, Federal Sentencing Reporter 5:306.
- RAND. 1997. *Mandatory Minimum Drug Sentences: Throwing Away the Key or the Taxpayers' Money?* Washington, D.C.: RAND Corporation Drug Policy Research Center.
- Rappaport, Aaron J. 2003. Rationalizing the Commission: The Philosophical Premises of the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines, EMORY LAW REVIEW 52:557.
- RAUDENBUSH, STEPHEN W. & ANTHONY S. BRYK. 2002. HIERARCHICAL LINEAR MODELS: APPLICATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS METHODS, 2nd. ed., Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

- Reitz, Kevin. 1993. Sentencing Facts: Travesties of Real-Offense Sentencing, STANFORD LAW REVIEW 45(3):523-573.
- Reitz, Kevin. 1997. Sentencing Guideline Systems and Sentence Appeals—A Comparison of Federal and State Experiences, NORTHWESTERN LAW REVIEW 91:1441.
- Reitz, Kevin. 2003. *Model Penal Code: Sentencing Report*, American Law Institute, Buffalo Criminal Law Review 6(1):219-315.
- Reuter, Peter & Jonathan P. Caulkins. 1995. *Redefining the Goals of National Drug Policy:* Report of a Working Group, AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH 85:1059.
- Rhodes, William M. 1992. Sentencing Disparity, Use of Incarceration, Plea Bargaining: the Post-guideline View from the Commission, Federal Sentencing Reporter 5(3):153.
- Rhodes, William M. & Catherine Conly. 1981. *Analysis of Federal Sentencing*. Federal Justice Research Program, DOJ.
- Robinson, Paul. 1987. *Hybrid Principles of for the Distribution of Criminal Sanctions*. NORTHWESTERN LAW REVIEW 82:19.
- Robbins, Maro. 2002. Immigration Sentencing on the Southwest Border: A Tale of Two Bureaucracies (unpublished manuscript).
- ROSSI, PETER & RICHARD BERK. 1997. JUST PUNISHMENTS: FEDERAL GUIDELINES AND PUBLIC VIEWS COMPARED. New York: Aldine De Gruyter.
- Rothman, David. 1983. Sentencing Reform in Historical Perspective, CRIME & DELINQUENCY 29:631-647.
- ROTHMAN, DAVID. 1995. Perfecting the Prison: United States, 1789-1865, in The Oxford History of the Prison: The Practice of Punishment in Western Society, Norval Morris & David Rothman (eds.), New York: Oxford University Press.
- ROTMAN, EDGARDO. 1995. *The Failure of Reform: United States, 1865-1965, in* The Oxford History of the Prison: The Practice of Punishment in Western Society, Norval Morris & David Rothman (eds.), New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ruback, Barry & Jonathan Wroblewski. 2001. *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines— Psychological and Policy Reasons for Simplification*. PSYCHOLOGY, PUBLIC POLICY, & LAW 7(4):739-775.

- Sands, Jon M. & Cynthia A. Coates, 1991. The Mikado's Object: The Tension Between Relevant Conduct and Acceptance of Responsibility in the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, ARIZONA STATE LAW JOURNAL 23:61-108.
- Sabol, William & John McGready. 1999. *Time Served in Prison by Federal Offenders, 1986-97*. Washington, D.C.: BJS.
- Saris, Patti B. 1997. Below the Radar Screens: Have the Sentencing Guidelines Eliminated Disparity? One Judge's Perspective, Suffolk University Law Review 30:1027.
- Scalia, John. 2001. Federal Criminal Appeals, 1999 with Trends 1985-99. Washington, D.C.: BJS.
- Schanzenbach, Max M. 2004. Racial and Gender Disparities in Prison Sentences: The Effect of District-Level Judicial Demographics. (Paper presented at American Law & Economics Association Annual Meeting). Available at http://law.bepress.com/alea/14th/art4.
- Schlegel, Kip & David Weisburd (eds.). 1992. White-Collar Crime Reconsidered, Boston: Northeastern University Press.
- Schulhofer, Stephen & Ilene Nagel. 1989. Negotiated Pleas Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: The First Fifteen Months, American Criminal Law Review 27:231.
- Schulhofer, Stephen. 1992. Assessing the Federal Sentencing Process: The Problem is Uniformity, Not Disparity, American Criminal Law Review 29:833.
- Schulhofer, Stephen & Ilene H. Nagel. 1997. Plea Negotiations Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: Guideline Circumvention and Its Dynamic in the Post-Mistretta Period, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW 91(4):1284.
- Schwarzer, William. 1992. Sentencing Guidelines and Mandatory Minimums: Mixing Apples and Oranges, Southern California Law Review 66:405.
- Scotkin, Ronnie. 1990. The Development of the Federal Sentencing Guideline for Drug Trafficking Offenses, CRIMINAL LAW BULLETIN 26:50.
- Segal, Jennifer. 2001. Family Ties and Federal Sentencing, Federal Sentencing Reporter 13 6:29.
- Sifton, Charles. 1997. Theme and Variations: The Relationship Between National Sentencing Standards and Local Conditions, Federal Sentencing Reporter 10:31.
- Sigler, R. & Lamb, D. 1995. Community-Based Alternatives to Prison: How the Public and Court Personnel View Them, FEDERAL PROBATION 59:3.

- Simon, Eric. 1990. *The Impact of Drug-Law Sentencing on the Federal Prison Population*, Federal Sentencing Reporter 6:29.
- SINGER, RICHARD. 1979. JUST DESERTS: SENTENCING BASED ON EQUALITY AND DESERT. Cambridge: Ballinger.
- Spelman, W. 1995. *The Severity of Intermediate Sanctions*, JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN CRIME AND DELINQUENCY 32:107.
- Spohn, Cassia C. 2000. Thirty Years of Sentencing Reform: The Quest for a Racially Neutral Sentencing Process, 3 CRIMINAL JUSTICE (Julie Horney, ed.).
- Spohn, Cassia C. 2003. Charging and Sentencing Decisions in Federal Court: An Analysis of the Interpretation and Application of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines in Three U.S. District Courts. (Paper presented at the 2003 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Criminology in Denver, CO.)
- Spohn, Cassia C. 2004. Sentencing Decisions in Three U. S. District Courts: Testing the Assumption of Uniformity in the Federal Sentencing Process. (Paper to be presented at the 2004 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Criminology in Nashville, TN.)
- Standen, Jeffrey. 1993. *Plea Bargaining in the Shadow of the Guidelines*, California Law Review 81:1471.
- Steffensmeier, Darrel & Stephen Demuth. 2000. Ethnicity and Sentencing Outcomes in US Federal Courts: Who Is Punished More Harshly?, AMERICAN SOCIOLOGICAL REVIEW 65(5):705.
- STITH, KATE & JOSE CABRANES. 1998. FEAR OF JUDGING. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Stith, Kate & Steve Y. Koh. 1993. The Politics of Sentencing Reform: The Legislative History of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, WAKE FOREST LAW REVIEW 28:223.
- Stockel, Eric J. 1995. "Mixture or Substance": Continuing Disparity Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines §2D1.1, Touro Law Review 12:205.
- Stolzenberg, Lisa & Stewart J. D'Alessio. 1994. Sentencing and Unwarranted Disparity: An Empirical Assessment of the Long-Term Impact of Sentencing Guidelines in Minnesota, CRIMINOLOGY 32:301.
- Storto, Laura. 2002. Getting Behind the Numbers: A Report on Four Districts and What They Do "Below the Radar Screen." (Paper presented at the Symposium on Federal Sentencing Guidelines, Yale Law School, Nov. 8, 2002.)

- Sutton, L. Paul. 1978. Federal Sentencing Patterns: A Study of Geographical Variations. Washington, D.C.: DOJ.
- Tease, Antoinette M. 1992. Downward Departures For Substantial Assistance: A Proposal For Reducing Sentencing Disparities Among Codefendants, Montana Law Review 65:75-90.
- TONRY, MICHAEL (ed.). 1995. INTERMEDIATE SANCTIONS IN OVERCROWDED TIMES, Boston: Northeastern University Press.
- TONRY, MICHAEL. 1995. MALIGN NEGLECT—RACE, CRIME, AND PUNISHMENT IN AMERICA. New York: Oxford University Press.
- TONRY, MICHAEL. 1996. SENTENCING MATTERS. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Tonry, Michael. 1997. Salvaging the Sentencing Guidelines in Seven Easy Steps, Federal Sentencing Reporter 10(1):51.
- TONRY, MICHAEL & RICHARD FRASE (eds.). 2001. SENTENCING AND SANCTIONS IN WESTERN COUNTRIES. New York: Oxford University Press.
- TWENTIETH CENTURY FUND TASK FORCE ON CRIMINAL SENTENCING. 1976. FAIR AND CERTAIN PUNISHMENT: REPORT OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY FUND TASK FORCE ON CRIMINAL SENTENCING. New York: McGraw Hill.
- ULMER, JEFFERY T. 1997. SOCIAL WORLDS OF SENTENCING: COURT COMMUNITIES UNDER SENTENCING GUIDELINES. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Ulmer, Jeffery T. 2000. Rules Have Changed—So Proceed with Caution: A Comment on Engen and Gainey's Method for Modeling Sentencing Outcomes under Guidelines, Criminology 38(4):1231.
- Ulmer, Jeffery T., et al. 2001. *District Matters: An Analysis of Inter-district Variation in Federal Sentencing.* (Paper presented at 2001 American Society of Criminology in Atlanta, GA.)
- Ulmer, Jeffery T. & John Kramer. 1996. Court Communities Under Sentencing Guidelines: Dilemmas of formal Rationality and Sentencing Disparity, CRIMINOLOGY 34:3.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2002. Current Population Reports. Washington, D.C.
- U. S. Department of Justice. 1980. *Principles of Federal Prosecution*. Washington, D.C. *Reprinted in Federal Sentencing Reporter* 6:317 (1994).

- U.S. Department of Justice. 1987. *Prosecutors Handbook on Sentencing Guidelines*. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Department of Justice. 1990. Survey of Intermediate Sanctions. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Department of Justice. 1994. *An Analysis of Non-Violent Drug Offenders with Minimal Criminal Histories*. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1987. Supplementary Report on the Initial Sentences Guidelines and Policy Statements. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1991a. The Federal Sentencing Guidelines: A Report on the Operation of the Guidelines System and Short-Term Impacts on Disparity in Sentencing, Use of Incarceration, and Prosecutorial Discretion and Plea Bargaining. I, II. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1991b. *Mandatory Minimum Penalties in the Federal Criminal Justice System*. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1991c. *National Survey of Judges and Court Practitioners*. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1995. Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1996a. "Level of detail in Chapter Two", *in Simplification and Assessment Discussion Paper: Sentencing Options under the Guidelines*. Washington, D.C (Available at http://www.ussc.gov/simple/ch2level.htm).
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1996b. Report to the Congress: Sex Offenses Against Children: Findings and Recommendations Regarding Federal Penalties. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1996c. Simplification and Assessment Discussion Paper: Sentencing Options under the Guidelines. Washington: D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 1997. Money Laundering Working Group Report.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2001a. 2000 Annual Report. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2001b. *Policy on Racial Profiling*. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2001c. Rules of Practice and Procedure. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2001d. 2001 Sourcebook of Federal Sentencing Statistics. Washington, D.C.

- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2002a. Special Report to Congress: Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2002b. Summary Report of the U.S. Sentencing Commission's Survey of Article III Judges. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2003a. 2002-2003 Guide to Publications & Resources. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2003b. *Downward Departures from the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2003c. Recidivism Project. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2003d. Survey of Article III Judges on the Federal Sentencing Guidelines. Washington, D.C.
 Available at http://www.ussc.gov/judsurv/judsurv.htm
- U.S. Sentencing Commission. 2004. *Measuring Recidivism: The Criminal History Computation of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*. Washington, D.C. Available at http://www.ussc.gov/publicat/Recidivism General.pdf
- VIRGINIA CRIMINAL SENTENCING COMMISSION. 2003 ANNUAL REPORT.
- Von Hirsch, Andrew. 1976. Doing Justice: The Choice of Punishments, Boston: Northeastern University Press.
- Von Hirsch, Andrew, et al. 1987. The Commission and Its Guidelines, Boston: Northeastern University Press.
- Wald, Patricia. 1995. What about the Kids?: Parenting Issues in Sentencing, Federal Sentencing Reporter 8:137.
- Waldfogel, Joel. 1991. Aggregate Inter-Judge Disparity in Federal Sentencing: Evidence from Three Districts, Federal Sentencing Reporter 4(3):151.
- Waldfogel, Joel. 1998. Does Inter-Judge Disparity Justify Empirically Based Sentencing Guidelines?, International Review of Law & Economics 18:293.
- Wallace, Henry S. 1994. *Mandatory Minimums and the Betrayal of Sentencing Reform: A Legislative Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde*, IARCA JOURNAL OF COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS 5:30.

- Wasserman, Steven B. 1995. *Toward Sentencing Reform for Drug Couriers*, BROOKLYN LAW REVIEW 61:643.
- Weinstein, Ian. 1998. Substantial Assistance and Sentence Severity: Is There a Correlation?, Federal Sentencing Reporter 11:83.
- Weinstein, Jack. 1992. A Trial Judge's Second Impression of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW 66:357.
- Weisburd, David. 1992. Sentencing Disparity and the Guidelines: Taking a Closer Look, Federal Sentencing Reporter 5(3):148.
- WEISBURD, DAVID, ET AL. 2001. WHITE-COLLAR CRIME AND CRIMINAL CAREERS, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Wilkins, William W., Jr. 1992a. *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines: Striking an Appropriate Balance*, UC DAVIS LAW REVIEW 25(3):571-586.
- Wilkins, William W., Jr. 1992b. *Response to Judge Heaney*, AMERICAN CRIMINAL LAW REVIEW 29(3):795.
- Wilkins, William W., Jr. & John Steer. 1990. Relevant Conduct: The Cornerstone of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, SOUTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW 41:495.
- Wilkins, William W., Jr. & John Steer. 1993. The Role of Sentencing Guidelines Amendments in Reducing Unwarranted Sentencing Disparity, Washington & Lee Law Review 50:63-88.
- Wilkins, William W., Jr., et al. 1993. Competing Sentencing Policies in a War on Drugs, WAKE FOREST LAW REVIEW 28:305-327.
- Wisenberg, Solomon. 2003. Federal White Collar Crime.

 Available at: http://profs.lp.findlaw.com/collar/collar_2.html (03/18/03).
- Wood, P. & Harold G. Grasmick. 1995. *Inmates Rank the Severity of Ten Alternatives Sanctions Compared to Prison*, Journal of the Oklahoma Criminal Justice Research Consortium 2:30.
- Wood, P. & Harold G. Grasmick. 1999. Toward the Development of Punishment Equivalencies: Male and Female Inmates Rate the Severity of Alternative Sanctions Compared to Prison, JUSTICE QUARTERLY 16:19.
- Wright, Ronald. 2002. Counting the Costs of Sentencing in North Carolina, 1980-2002, in M. Tonry, CRIME & JUSTICE: AN ANNUAL REVIEW OF RESEARCH 29:39.

- Yellen, David. 1993. *Beyond Guidelines: The Commission As Sentencing Clearing House*, Federal Sentencing Reporter 6(1)13.
- Zatz, Marjorie. 1987. *The Changing Forms of Racial/Ethnic Biases in Sentencing*, Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency 24(1):69.
- ZIMRING, FRANKLIN. 1976. MAKING THE PUNISHMENT FIT THE CRIME, Chicago: Hastings Center.
- ZIMRING, FRANKLIN. 1991. THE SCALE OF IMPRISONMENT, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Zlotnick, David M. 2004. The War within the War on Crime: The Congressional Assault on Judicial Sentencing Discretion, Southern Methodist University Dedman School of Law 57(1):211.