

Appendix I

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Federal Judicial Center, Barbara S. Vincent & Paul J. Hofer, *The Consequences of Mandatory Minimum Prison Terms: A Summary of Recent Findings* (1994).

American Bar Association, Task Force on Federalization of Crim. Law, *The Federalization of Criminal Law* (1998).

———, Justice Kennedy Commission, *Report with Recommendations to the ABA House of Delegates*, 9 (Aug. 2004).

American Civil Liberties Union, Kimberly Humphrey, *Criminal Codes Gone Wild*, (Oct. 20, 2010).

Austin, William, & Thomas A. Williams, III, *A Survey of Judges' Responses to Simulated Legal Cases: Research Note on Sentencing Disparity*, 68 J. Crim. L. Criminology 306 (1977).

Bacon, Stephen L., *A Distinction without a Difference: "Receipt" and "Possession" of Child Pornography and the Double Jeopardy Problem*, 65 U. Miami L. Rev. 1027 (Spring 2011).

Banner, Stuart, *The Death Penalty: An American History* (Harvard University Press, 2002).

Barkow, Rachel E., *Federalism and Criminal Law: What the Feds can learn from the States*, 109 Mich. L. Rev. 519 (2011).

Beale, Sara Sun, *Federalizing Crime: Assessing the Impact on the Federal Courts*, 543 Annals Am. Acad. Pol. & Soc. Sci. 39 (1996).

Becker, Gary S., *Crime and Punishment: An Economic Approach*, 76 J. Pol. Econ. 169 (1968).

Bibas, Stephanos, *Plea-Bargaining Outside the Shadow of Trial*, 117 Harv. L. Rev. 2464 (2004).

Bolles, Albert Sidney, *A Financial History of the United States, 1861 to 1885* (1886).

Bowman, Frank O., III, *Debacle: How the Supreme Court Has Mangled American Sentencing Law and How It Might Yet Be Mended*, 77 U. Chi. L. Rev. 367 (2010).

Bjerk, David, *Making the Crime Fit the Penalty: The Role of Prosecutorial Discretion Under Mandatory Minimum Sentencing*, 48 J. Law & Econ. 591 (Oct. 2005).

Brennan Center for Justice, *Racial Disparities in Federal Prosecutions* (2010).

Cassell, Paul G., *Too Severe?: A Defense of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines (and a Critique of Federal Mandatory Minimums)*, 56 Stan. L. Rev. 1017 (2004).

Cassell, Paul G., & Erik Luna, *Mandatory Minimalism*, 32 Cardozo L. Rev. 1 (2010).

Cato Institute, Erik Luna, *Misguided Guidelines: A Critique of Federal Sentencing*, Pol’y Analysis No. 458 (Nov. 1, 2002).

The Constitution Project, *Principles for Design and Reform of Sentencing Systems: A Background Report 27* (May 13, 2010).

———, Sentencing Initiative, *Recommendations for Federal Criminal Sentencing in a Post-Booker World*, 18 Fed. Sent’g Rep. 310 (2006).

Davis, Angela, *The American Prosecutor: Independence, Power, and the Threat of Tyranny*, 86 Iowa L. Rev. 393 (2001).

Documentary History of the First Congress of the United States of America, Debates in the House of Representatives (Helen E. Veit et al. eds, 1994).

Dolling, Dieter, Horst Entorf, Dieter Herman, & Thomas Rupp, *Is Deterrence Effective? Results of a Meta-Analysis of Punishment*, 15 Eur. J. Crim. Pol’y Res. 201 (2009).

Doob, Anthony N., & Cheryl Marie White, *Sentence Severity and Crime: Accepting the Null Hypothesis*, 30 Crime & Just: Rev. Res. 143 (2003).

Durlauf, Steven N., & Daniel S. Nagin, *Imprisonment and Crime: Can Both Be Reduced?*, 10 Criminology. & Pub. Pol’y. 13 (2011).

Dwan, Ralph H., & Ernest R. Feidler, *The Federal Statutes—Their History and Use*, 22 Minn. L. Rev. 1008 (1938).

Ehrlich, Susan A., *The Increased Federalization of Crime*, 32 Ariz. St. L. J. 825 (2000).

Ervin, Sam J., III, *The Federalization of State Crimes: Some Observations and Reflections*, 98 W. Va. L. Rev. 761 (1996).

Families Against Mandatory Minimums, Molly M. Gill, *Correcting Course: Lessons from the 1970 Repeal of Mandatory Minimums* (2008).

The Federalist Society, William R. Maurer and David Malmstrom, *The Explosion of the Criminal Law and Its Cost to Individuals, Economic Opportunity, and Society* (2010).

Frankel, Marvin E., *Lawlessness in Sentencing*, 41 Cinn. L. Rev. 1 (1972).

Friedman, Lawrence M., *Crime and Punishment in American History* (BasicBooks, 1993).

Gertner, Nancy, *From Omnipotence to Impotence: American Judges and Sentencing*, 4 Ohio St. J. Crim. L. 523 (2007).

Grasmick, Harold G., & George J. Bryjak, *The Deterrent Effect of Perceived Severity of Punishment*, 59 Soc. F. 471 (1980).

Hatch, Senator Orrin G., *The Role of Congress in Sentencing: The United States Sentencing Commission, Mandatory Minimum Sentences, and the Search for a Certain and Effective Sentencing System*, 28 Wake Forest L. Rev. 185 (1993).

Heller, Robert, *Selective Prosecution and the Federalization of Criminal Law: The Need for Meaningful Judicial Review of Prosecutorial Discretion*, 145 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1309 (1997).

Henderson, Dwight F., *Congress, Courts, and Criminals: The Development of Federal Criminal Law* (Greenwood Press, 1985).

———, *Treason, Seditious and Fries' Rebellion*, 14 Am. J. Legal Hist. 308 (1970).

The Heritage Foundation, *Fact Sheet: Overcriminalization: An Explosion of Federal Criminal Law* (Apr. 27, 2011).

———, John S. Baker, Jr., *Revisiting the Explosive Growth of Federal Crimes*, Heritage Foundation Memo No. 26 (June 16, 2008).

The Heritage Foundation and the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, *Without Intent: How Congress is Eroding the Criminal Intent Requirement in the Federal Law* (Apr. 2010).

Hofer, Paul, *Federal Sentencing for Violent and Drug Trafficking Crimes Involving Firearms: Recent Changes and Prospects for Improvement*, 37 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 41 (2000).

Jefferies, John C., Jr., & John Gleeson, *The Federalization of Organized Crime: Advantages of Federal Prosecution*, 46 Hastings L.J. 1095 (1995).

Jenkins, David, *The Seditious Act of 1798 and the Incorporation of Seditious Libel into First Amendment Jurisprudence*, 45 Am. J. Legal Hist. 154 (2001).

Judicial Conference of the United States, *Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States* (1990).

Justice Policy Institute, *A Capitol Concern: The Disproportionate Impact of the Justice System on Low-Income Communities in D.C.* (July 2010).

———, *The Vortex: The Concentrated Racial Impact of Drug Imprisonment and the Characteristics of Punitive Counties* (2007).

Justice Policy Institute, Vincent Schiraldi & Jason Ziedenberg, *Race and Incarceration in Maryland* (2003).

Kamalu, Ngozi Caleb, Margery Coulson-Clark, & Nkechi Margaret Kamalu, *Racial Disparities in Sentencing: Implications for the Criminal Justice System and the African American Community*, 4 Afr. J. of Criminology & Just. Stud. 1 (2010).

Kearney, Kevin M., Comment, *Private Citizens in Foreign Affairs: A Constitutional Analysis*, 36 Emory L. J. 285 (1987).

Kennedy, Justice Anthony M., Speech at the American Bar Association Annual Meeting (Aug. 9, 2003).

Kleck, Gary, Brion Sever, Spencer Li, & Marc Gertz, *The Missing Link in General Deterrence Research*, 43 Criminology 623 (2005).

Leahy, Sen. Patrick J., Letter to William K. Sessions, Chair, U.S. Sentencing Commission (Aug. 30, 2010).

Levine, Andrew M., *The Confounding Boundaries of “Apprendi-Land”: Statutory Minimums and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 29 Am. J. Crim. L. 377 (2002).

Lewis, Donald E., *The General Deterrent Effect of Longer Sentences*, 26 Brit. J. Criminology 47 (1986).

Life and Letters of Joseph Story (William W. Story ed., 1851).

Little, Rory K., *Myths and Principles of Federalization*, 46 Hastings L.J. 1029 (1995).

———, *The Federal Death Penalty: History and Some Thoughts About the Department of Justice’s Role*, 26 Fordham Urb. L.J. 347 (1999).

Lowenthal, Gary T., *Mandatory Sentencing Laws: Undermining the Effectiveness of Determinate Sentencing Reform*, 81 Calif. L. Rev. 61 (1993).

Lynch, Gerard E., *Sentencing Eddie*, 91 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 547 (2001).

Martin, John S., Jr., *Why Mandatory Minimums Make No Sense*, 18 Notre Dame J.L. Ethics & Pub. Pol’y 311 (2004).

McDowell, David, Colin Loftin, & Brian Wiersema, *A Comparative Study of the Preventative Effects of Mandatory Sentencing Laws for Gun Crimes*, 83 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 378 (1992).

Mendes, Silvia M., *Certainty, Severity, and Their Relative Deterrent Effects: Questioning the Implications of the Role of Criminal Deterrence Policy*, 32 Pol. Stud. J. 59 (2004).

- Mueller, Robert S., III, *Mandatory Minimum Sentencing*, 4 Fed. Sent'g Rep. 230 (1992).
- Mustard, David B., *Racial, Ethnic, and Gender Disparities in Sentencing: Evidence from the U.S. Federal Courts*, 44 J. L. & Econ. 285 (2001).
- Nagel, Ilene H., & Stephen J. Schulhofer, *A Tale of Three Cities: An Empirical Study of Charging and Bargaining Practices Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 66 S. Cal. L. Rev. 501 (1992).
- Nobiling, Tracy, Cassia Spohn, & Miriam DeLone, *A Tale of Two Counties: Unemployment and Sentence Severity*, 15 Just. Q. 459 (1998).
- O'Connor, R. Daniel, *Defining the Strike Zone – An Analysis of the Classification of Prior Convictions Under the Federal “Three-Strikes and You’re Out” Scheme*, 36 B.C. L. Rev. 847 (1995).
- O'Hear, Michael M., *National Uniformity/Local Uniformity: Reconsidering the Use of Departures to Reduce Federal-State Sentencing Disparities*, 87 Iowa L. Rev. 721 (2002).
- , *Statutory Interpretation and Direct Democracy, Lessons from the Drug Treatment Initiatives*, 40 Harv. J. Legis. 281 (Summer 2003).
- O'Neill, Michael Edmond, et al., *Past as Prologue: Reconciling Recidivism and Culpability*, 73 Fordham L. Rev. 245 (2004).
- Office of Hawaiian Affairs, *The Disparate Treatment of Native Hawaiians in the Criminal Justice System* (2010).
- Paternoster, Raymond, *How Much Do We Really Know About Criminal Deterrence?*, 100 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 765 (2010).
- Patton, David E., *Guns, Crime Control, and a Systemic Approach to Federal Sentencing*, 32 Cardozo L. Rev. 1427 (2011).
- Pew Center on the States, *One in 100: Behind Bars in America 2008* (Feb. 2008).
- , *Public Opinion Strategies, National Research of Public Attitudes on Crime and Punishment* (Sept. 2010).
- Pogarsky, Greg, *Identifying “Deterrable” Offenders: Implications for Research on Deterrence*, 19 Just. Q. 431 (2002).
- RAND Corp., Joan Petersilia & Peter W. Greenwood, *Mandatory Prison Sentences: Their Projected Effects on Crime and Prison Populations* (Oct. 1977).

———, Drug Research Policy Center, Jonathan P. Caulkins, C. Peter, Rydell, William L. Schwabe, & James Chiesa, *Mandatory Minimum Drug Sentences: Throwing Away the Key or the Taxpayers' Money* (1997).

Rehnquist, Chief Justice William H., Fed. Judiciary, *The 1998-Year End Report of the Federal Judiciary* (1998).

———, *Luncheon Address* (June 18, 1993).

Revision Commission to Revise and Codify the Criminal and Penal Laws of the United States, *Report on the Penal Code of the United States* (1901).

Revision Commission to Revise and Codify the Laws of the United States, *Final Report of the Commission to Codify and Revise the Laws of the United States* (1906).

Richman, Daniel, *The Past, Present and Future of Violent Crime Federalization*, 34 *Crim. Just.* 377 (2006).

Russell, Sarah French, *Rethinking Recidivist Enhancements: The Role of Prior Drug Convictions in Federal Sentencing*, 43 *U.C. Davis L. Rev.* 1135 (2010).

Schoenburg, Peter, & Steve McCue, *Controlled Substances*, 21-Jul *Champion* 37 (1997).

Schulhofer, Stephen J., *Rethinking Mandatory Minimums*, 28 *Wake Forest L. Rev.* 199 (1993).

Schulhofer, Stephen J., & Ilene H. Nagel, *Negotiated Pleas Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: The First Fifteen Months*, 27 *Am. Crim. L. Rev.* 231 (1989).

———, & Ilene H. Nagel, *Plea Negotiations Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: Guideline Circumvention and Its Dynamics in the Post-Mistretta Period*, 91 *Nw. U. L. Rev.* 1284 (1997).

Schweikert, G. Adam, III, Note, *Third Party Cooperation: A Welcome Addition to Substantial Assistance Departure Jurisprudence*, 30 *Conn. L. Rev.* 1445 (1998).

The Sentencing Project, Valerie Wright, *Deterrence in Criminal Justice: Evaluating Certainty vs. Severity of Punishment* (Nov. 2010).

———, Judith Greene & Marc Mauer, *Downscaling Prisons: Lessons from Four States* (2010).

———, Marc Mauer, *Prepared Statement before the Canadian Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs: The Impact of Mandatory Sentencing Policies in the United States* (Oct. 28, 2009).

Sevigny, Eric L., *Excessive Uniformity in Federal Drug Sentencing*, 25 *J. Quant. Criminology* 155 (2009).

- Shermer, Lauren O'Neill, & Brian D. Johnson, *Criminal Prosecutions: Examining Prosecutorial Discretion and Charge Reduction in the U.S. District Courts*, 27 Just. Q. 394 (2010).
- Shinnar, Shlomo, & Reuel Shinnar, *The Effects of the Criminal Justice System on the Control of Crime: A Quantitative Approach*, 9 Law & Soc'y Rev. 581 (1975).
- Simon, Michael A., *Prosecutorial Discretion and Prosecutorial Guidelines: A Case Study in Controlling Federalization*, 75 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 893 (2000).
- Smulow, Daniel I., *When Fair is Foul: Federal Drug Sentencing in the Wake of United States v. Labonte*, 48 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 437 (1998).
- Spohn, Cassia, John Gruhl, & Susan Welch, *The Impact of the Ethnicity and Gender on the Decision to Reject or Dismiss Felony Charges*, 25 Criminology 175 (1987).
- Sporkin, Stanley, & Asa Hutchinson, Debate, *Mandatory Minimums in Drug Sentencing: A Valuable Weapon in the War on Drugs or a Handcuff on Judicial Discretion?*, 36 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 1279 (1999).
- Stith, Kate, & Steve Y. Koh, *The Politics of Sentencing Reform: The Legislative History of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 28 Wake Forest. L. Rev. 223 (1993).
- Terez, Dennis G., *Substantial Reform for Substantial Assistance Motions*, 29 J. Legis. 89 (2002).
- Tittle, Charles R., & Alan R. Rowe, *Certainty of Arrest and Crime Rates: A Further Test of the Deterrence Hypothesis*, 52 Soc. Forces 455 (1974).
- Tonry, Michael, *The Mostly Unintended Effects of Mandatory Penalties: Two Centuries of Consistent Findings*, 38 Crime & Just. 65 (2009).
- Ulmer, Jeffery T., Megan C. Kurlychek, & John H. Kramer, *Prosecutorial Discretion and the Imposition of Mandatory Minimum Sentences*, 44 J. Res. Crim. & Delinq. 427 (2007).
- United States Congress, *Mass Incarceration in the United States: At What Cost?: Hearing Before the J. Econ. Comm.*, 110th Cong. (2007).
- United States Department of Justice, *Att'y Gen. of the United States Ann. Rep.* (1873).
- , *Attorney General of the United States Ann. Rep.* (1889).
- , *Attorney General of the United States Ann. Rep.* (1910).
- , *Attorney General's Task Force on Violent Crime: Final Report* 30 (Aug. 17, 1981).

- , *Press Release: Justice Program Shows Success in Crime-Ridden Areas* (July 15, 1999).
- , Bureau of Justice Assistance, *National Assessment of Structured Sentencing* (1996).
- , Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Bulletin NCJ231681* at 1 (Dec. 2010).
- , Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Expenditure and Employment, National Estimates, 1982-2005*.
- , Bureau of Justice Statistics, Allen J. Beck & Darrell K. Gilliard, *Bulletin: Prisoners in 1994*, (1995).
- , Bureau of Justice Statistics, Heather C. West, William J. Sabol, & Sarah J. Greenman, *Bulletin: Prisoners in 2009* (2010).
- , Bureau of Prisons, *State of the Bureau* (1991).
- , Executive Office for U.S. Attorneys, *Project Safe Neighborhoods – An Overview of the Strategy*, U.S. Attorneys’ Bulletin, Vol. 50, No. 4 at 1 (Jan. 2002).
- , Executive Office for U. S. Attorneys, United States Attorneys’ Bulletin, Vol. 40, No. 8, at 248 (Aug. 1992).
- , Memorandum from John Ashcroft, Attorney General, to all Federal Prosecutors dated September 22, 2003, regarding Department Policy Concerning Charging Criminal Offenses, Disposition of Charges, and Sentencing.
- , Memorandum from Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney General, to all Federal Prosecutors dated May 19, 2010, regarding Department Policy on Charging and Sentencing.
- , National Institute of Justice, *Relations between Increases in Certainty, Severity, and Celerity of Punishment for Drug Crimes and Reduction of Crime, Drug Crime, and the Effects of Drug Abuse* (1993).
- United States Department of State, Office of the Historian, *The XYZ Affair and the Quasi-War with France, 1798–1800*.
- United States Department of the Treasury, Commissioner of Internal Revenue, *Report of the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1866* (1866).
- , Commissioner of Internal Revenue, *Report of the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1875* (1875).
- , Commissioner of Internal Revenue, *Report of the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1882* (1882).

———, Secretary of the Treasury, *Annual Report* (1868).

———, Secretary of the Treasury, *Report on the State of the Finances* (1874).

United States Government Accounting Office, *Federal and State Prisons: Inmate Populations, Costs, and Projection Models, Report to the Subcomm. on Crime, of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary* (Nov. 1996).

United States House of Representatives, *Comprehensive Drug Penalty Act: Hearing on H.R. 3272, H.R. 3299, and H.R. 3725, Before the Subcomm. on Crime of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 98th Cong.* (1983).

———, *Federal Mandatory Minimum Sentencing: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Crime and Criminal Justice of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 103rd Cong.* (July 1993).

———, *Fiscal Year 2011 Appropriations: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies of the H. Comm. on Appropriations* (Mar. 2010).

———, *Housing D.C. Code Felons Far Away From Home: Effects on Crime, Recidivism and Reentry: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Federal Workforce, Postal Service and the District of Columbia of the H. Oversight and Government Reform Comm. 111th Cong.* 17 (May 2010).

———, *Implications of the Booker/Fanfan Decisions for the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 109th Cong.* (2005).

———, *Mandatory Minimum Sentences – Are They Being Imposed and Who is Receiving Them?: United States General Accounting Office, Report to the Chairman, Subcomm. on Crime and Criminal Justice of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 103rd Cong.* (Nov. 1993).

———, *Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Laws – The Issues: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Sec. of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 110th Cong.* (2007).

———, *Mandatory Minimums and Unintended Consequences: Hearing on H.R. 2934, H.R. 834 and H.R. 1466 Before the Subcomm. on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 111th Cong.* (2009).

———, *Unfairness in Federal Cocaine Sentencing: Is It Time to Crack the 100 to 1 Disparity: Hearing on H.R. 1459, H.R. 1466, H.R. 265, H.R. 2178 and H.R. 18 Before the Subcomm. on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 111th Cong.* (2009).

———, *Sex Crimes and the Internet: Hearing Before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 110th Cong.* (2007).

———, *Testimony Before the Subcomm. on Crime of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 106th Cong. at 3 (July 1999)

———, *United States v. Booker: One Year Later – Chaos or Status Quo?: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 109th Cong. (2006).

United States Library of Congress, Congressional Research Service, Charles Doyle, Cong. Research Serv., *Federal Mandatory Minimum Sentences: The Safety Valve and Substantial Assistance Exceptions* (July 14, 2010).

———, Congressional Research Service, Charles Doyle, Cong. Research Serv., *Federal Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Statutes: An Overview of Legislation in the 106th Congress* (Jan. 11, 2001).

———, Congressional Research Service, Brian T. Yeh & Charles Doyle, *Sentencing Levels for Crack and Powder Cocaine: Kimbrough v. United States and the Impact of United States v. Booker* (Jan. 29, 2009).

United States Senate, *Armed Career Criminal Act of 1983: Hearing on S. 52 Before S. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 98th Cong. (1983).

———, *Federal Cocaine Sentencing Laws: Reforming the 100-to-1 Crack/Powder Disparity: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Crime and Drugs of the S. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 110th Cong. (2008).

———, *Hearing to Examine the Prosecution of Ignacio Ramos and Jose Compean: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 110th Cong. (2007).

———, *Restoring Fairness to Federal Sentencing: Addressing the Crack-Powder Disparity: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Crime and Drugs of the S. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 111th Cong. (2009).

———, *Time Change – Federal Cocaine Sentencing Laws: Reforming the 100-to-1 Crack/Powder Disparity: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Crime and Drugs of the S. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 110th Cong. (2008).

United States Sentencing Commission, *Annual Reports and Sourcebooks of Federal Sentencing Statistics* (1988–2010).

———, *Demographic Differences in Federal Sentencing Practices: An Update of the Booker Report's Multivariate Regression Analysis* (Mar. 2010).

———, *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines: A Report on the Operation of the Guidelines System and Short-Term Impacts on Disparity in Sentencing, Use of Incarceration, and Prosecutorial Discretion and Plea Bargaining* (Dec. 1991).

———, *Final Report on the Impact of United States v. Booker on Federal Sentencing* (Mar. 2006).

———, *Guidelines Manuals, Supplements, and Appendices (1987–2010)*.

———, *Hearing: Mandatory Minimum Penalties: Hearing Before the U.S. Sentencing Commission* (May 27, 2010).

———, *The History of the Child Pornography Guidelines* (2009).

———, Memorandum from the Office of Research and Data and the Office of Gen. Counsel to Chair Saris, Commissioners, and Judith Sheon regarding *Analysis of the Impact of Guideline Implementation of the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 if the Amendment Were Applied Retroactively* (May 20, 2011).

———, *Preliminary Quarterly Data Report: 2nd Quarter Release* (2011).

———, Report to Congress: Federal Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Penalties: Hearing on Mandatory Minimums and Unintended Consequences Before the Subcomm. on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 111th Cong. (July 14, 2010).

———, *Report to the Congress: Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy* (2002).

———, *Report to the Congress: Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy* (2007).

———, *Results of Survey of United States District Judges: January 2010 through March 2010* (June 2010).

———, *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (2007).

———, *Special Report to the Congress: Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy* (1995).

———, *Special Report to the Congress: Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy* (1997).

———, *Special Report to the Congress: Mandatory Minimum Penalties in the Federal Criminal Justice System* (1991).

University of Cambridge, Institute of Criminology, Andrew von Hirsch, Anthony E. Bottoms, Elizabeth Burney, & P.O. Wikstrom, *Criminal Deterrence and Sentencing Severity* (1993).

Washington Legal Foundation, John Hasnas, *Mens Rea Requirement: A Critical Casualty of Overcriminalization* (Dec. 12, 2008).

Weinstein, Ian, *Fifteen Years after the Federal Sentencing Revolution: How Mandatory Minimums Have Undermined Effective and Just Narcotics Sentencing*, 40 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 87 (2003).

Yaroshefsky, Ellen, *Cooperation with Federal Prosecutors: Experiences of Truth Telling and Embellishment*, 68 Fordham L. Rev. 917 (1999).