UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY APRIL 12, 2018

+ + + + +

The United States Sentencing Commission met in the Suite 2-500, One Columbus Circle, N.E., Washington, D.C., at 11:30 a.m., the Honorable William H. Pryor, Jr., Acting Chair, presiding.

PRESENT

WILLIAM H. PRYOR, JR., Acting Chair RACHEL E. BARKOW, Commissioner CHARLES R. BREYER, Commissioner DANNY C. REEVES, Commissioner ZACHARY BOLITHO, Ex Officio Commissioner

ALSO PRESENT

KENNETH P. COHEN, Staff Director KATHLEEN C. GRILLI, General Counsel

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

11:32 a.m.

ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: This meeting is called to order. Thank you for attending this public meeting of the United States Sentencing Commission. The Commission appreciates the attendance of those joining us here, as well as those watching our live-stream broadcast on our website. As always, we welcome and encourage the significant public interest in federal sentencing issues and the work of the Commission.

I would like to start by introducing the other members of the Commission. To my immediate left is Commissioner Rachel Barkow, who is the Segal Family Professor of Regulatory Law and Policy at the New York University School of Law and serves as the faculty director of the Center on the Administration of Criminal Law at the law school.

To my immediate right is Judge Charles

Breyer, who is a Senior District Judge for the

Northern District of California and has served as

1	a District Judge since 1998.
2	To my far left is Judge Danny Reeves,
3	who is a District Judge for the Eastern District
4	of Kentucky and has served in that position since
5	2001.
6	Finally, to my far right, is Zachary
7	Bolitho, who is the Ex Officio Commissioner from
8	the Department of Justice. Commissioner Bolitho
9	serves as Deputy Chief of Staff and Associate
10	Deputy Attorney General to the Deputy Attorney
11	General of the United States.
1.0	
12	The first order of business today is a
13	vote to adopt the January 19, 2018, public
13	vote to adopt the January 19, 2018, public
13	vote to adopt the January 19, 2018, public meeting minutes. Is there a motion to do so?
13 14 15	vote to adopt the January 19, 2018, public meeting minutes. Is there a motion to do so? COMMISSIONER BREYER: So moved.
13 14 15 16	vote to adopt the January 19, 2018, public meeting minutes. Is there a motion to do so? COMMISSIONER BREYER: So moved. ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a
13 14 15 16 17	vote to adopt the January 19, 2018, public meeting minutes. Is there a motion to do so? COMMISSIONER BREYER: So moved. ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a second?
13 14 15 16 17 18	vote to adopt the January 19, 2018, public meeting minutes. Is there a motion to do so? COMMISSIONER BREYER: So moved. ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER REEVES: Second.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	vote to adopt the January 19, 2018, public meeting minutes. Is there a motion to do so? COMMISSIONER BREYER: So moved. ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER REEVES: Second. ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any discussion?

motion is adopted by a voice vote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The next item of business is the Report of the Chair. Before we begin our hearing, I would like to update the public briefly on some of the Commission's most recent publications and actions.

Since we last met in March for the second public hearing on proposed amendments, the Commission released two new publications. publication is related to mandatory minimum federal firearms offenses. penalties for Τ discussed this publication at our last meeting and encourage you to read the report's findings that available are now on the Commission's website.

Another new publication is titled "Recidivism Among Federal Offenders Receiving Retroactive Sentencing Reductions: The 2011 Fair Sentencing Act Guideline Amendment." This study analyzes the recidivism rates for offenders who received the retroactive benefit of the guideline amendment implementing the Fair Sentencing Act of

2010, which reduced the statutory mandatory minimum penalties for crack cocaine offenses.

While Congress did not make the statutory changes retroactive, the Commission did 2011 make the ensuing quideline amendment publication retroactive. The compares the recidivism rates for those offenders who received a retroactive reduction in their sentences with the rates for those offenders who would have been eligible to seek a reduced sentence under the 2011 guideline amendment, but who served their full sentences before it went into effect. The Commission conducted a similar analysis of its retroactive 2007 "Crack Minus Two" amendment. In the latest publication, the Commission found that recidivism rates were virtually identical, 37.9 percent, for offenders who were released early through retroactive application of the Fair Sentencing Act Guideline Amendment and offenders who had served their full sentences before the guideline reduction retroactively took effect.

Turning to the business of the day,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the Commission would like to thank the numerous 1 individuals and groups who submitted thoughtful 2 3 comments and recommendations during our 4 recent public comment periods. The next item of business is possible 5 votes to promulgate proposed amendments. The 6 General Counsel will advise the Commission on the 7 first possible vote on the technical amendment. 8 9 MS. GRILLI: Thank you, Judge Pryor. 10 This proposed amendment makes various technical changes to the Guideline Manual. First, it makes 11 12 clarifying changes to Chapter One, Part 13 Subpart 1, and Application Note 2(A) to §2B1.1. Next, it makes technical changes to 14 provide updated references to certain sections in 15 16 the United States Code that were either restated reclassified. 17 legislation or Those in are §2B1.5, Appendix A, §2A3.5, §2X5.2, §5B1.3, and 18 19 §5D1.3. 20 Finally, the proposed amendment makes 21 clerical changes to various listed guidelines in

Appendix A. A motion to promulgate the proposed

1	amendment with an effective date of November 1,
2	2018, and technical and conforming amendment
3	authority to staff is appropriate at this time.
4	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion
5	to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested
6	by the General Counsel?
7	COMMISSIONER BARKOW: So moved.
8	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a
9	second?
10	COMMISSIONER BREYER: Second.
11	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any discussion?
12	Vote on the motion by saying aye.
13	MEMBERS: Aye.
14	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any nays? The
15	motion is adopted and let the record reflect that
16	four Commissioners voted in favor of the motion.
17	The General Counsel will advise the
18	Commission on a possible vote on the marijuana
19	equivalency amendment.
20	MS. GRILLI: This proposed amendment
21	makes technical changes to §2D1.1, specifically
22	replacing the term "marijuana equivalency" as the

1	conversion factor for determining quantity
2	penalties for controlled substances that are
3	either not specifically referenced in the drug
4	quantity table or when combining differing
5	controlled substances.
6	The term "marijuana equivalency" is
7	replaced with the new term "converted drug
8	weight." This new conversion factor is added to
9	all provisions of the drug quantity table and
10	changed the title of the Drug Equivalency Table
11	to Drug Conversion Table. In addition, there are
12	technical changes made throughout §2D1.1.
13	A motion to promulgate the proposed
14	amendment with an effective date of November 1,
15	2018, and technical and conforming amendment
16	authority to staff is appropriate at this time.
17	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion
18	to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested
19	by the General Counsel?
20	COMMISSIONER REEVES: I'll make the
21	motion.
22	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a

1	second?
2	COMMISSIONER BARKOW: Second.
3	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any discussion?
4	Vote on the motion by saying aye.
5	MEMBERS: Aye.
6	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any nays? The
7	motion is adopted and let the record reflect that
8	four Commissioners voted in favor of the motion.
9	The General Counsel will advise the
10	Commission on the first possible vote on the
11	miscellaneous amendment.
12	MS. GRILLI: Yes. This amendment
13	responds to recently enacted legislation and
14	miscellaneous guideline issues. It contains five
15	parts. Part A responds to the Transnational Drug
16	Trafficking Act by amending §2B5.3.
17	Part B responds to the International
18	Megan's Law to Prevent Child Exploitation and
19	Other Sexual Crimes Through Advanced Notification
20	of the Traveling Sex Offenders Act by amending
21	§2A3.5, §2A3.6, and Appendix A.
22	Part C responds to the Frank R.

1	Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the Twenty-First
2	Century Act by amending Appendix A. And Part D
3	amends §2G1.3 to clarify how the computer
4	enhancement at Subsection (b)(3) interacts with
5	its correlating commentary.
6	Part E responds to the Justice for All
7	Reauthorization Act of 2016 by amending §5D1.3.
8	A motion to promulgate the amendment with an
9	effective date of November 1, 2018, and technical
10	and conforming amendment authority to staff is in
11	order at this time.
12	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion
13	to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested
14	by the General Counsel?
15	COMMISSIONER BREYER: So moved.
16	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: And is there a
17	second?
18	COMMISSIONER REEVES: Second.
1.0	
19	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there any
20	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there any discussion? Vote on the motion by saying aye.

motion is adopted and let the record reflect that 1 four Commissioners voted in favor of the motion. 2 The General Counsel will now advise 3 the Commission on a possible vote on the Tribal 4 Issues amendment. 5 MS. GRILLI: This proposed Yes. 6 7 amendment is the result of the Commission's study of the May 2016 report of the Commission's ad hoc 8 Tribal Issues Advisory Group and contains two 9 10 parts. Part A would amend the Commentary to 11 12 §4A1.3 to set forth a non-exhaustive list of 13 factors for the court to consider in determining whether and to what extent an upward departure 14 tribal 15 based court conviction is on 16 appropriate. Part B would amend the Commentary of 17 18 §1B1.1, which are application instructions, to 19 provide a definition of "court protection order" that is derived from federal statute. 20 It also 21 makes technical and conforming changes to the

Commentary of §2B1.3 and §2L1.1.

1	A motion to promulgate the proposed
2	amendment with an effective date of November 1,
3	2018, and with technical and conforming amendment
4	authority to staff is appropriate at this time.
5	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion
6	to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested
7	by the General Counsel?
8	COMMISSIONER REEVES: So moved as to
9	both parts.
10	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a
11	second?
12	COMMISSIONER BREYER: Second.
13	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any discussion?
14	Vote on the motion before we do that, I want
15	to say a few words about it. Let me start by
16	thanking the members of the Tribal Issues
17	Advisory Group for their recommendations in their
18	2016 report to the Commission and their expertise
19	regarding this amendment.
20	The six factors outlined in the
21	amendment provide a framework for courts to use
22	when determining whether an upward departure is

1	appropriate to account for prior tribal
2	convictions. Collectively, these
3	factors balance the rights of defendants and the
4	unique and important status of tribal courts. The
5	amendment also provides a definition for the term
6	"court protection order," which incorporates the
7	statutory definition of "protection order."
8	By adopting a clear definition, the
9	guidelines will ensure that court protection
10	orders issued by tribal courts receive treatment
11	consistent with that of other jurisdictions.
12	Vote on the motion by saying aye.
13	MEMBERS: Aye.
14	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any nays? The
15	motion is adopted and let the record reflect that
16	four Commissioners voted in favor of the
17	amendment.
18	The General Counsel will now advise
19	the Commission on a possible vote on the
20	Acceptance of Responsibility amendment.
21	MS. GRILLI: This proposed amendment
22	amends the Commentary to §3E1.1 to clarify how a

1	defendant's challenge to relevant conduct should
2	be considered in determining whether the
3	defendant has accepted responsibility.
4	Specifically, the proposed amendment
5	would revise Application Note 1(A) to state that
6	"the fact that a defendant's challenge is
7	unsuccessful does not necessarily establish that
8	it was either a false denial or frivolous."
9	A motion to promulgate the proposed
10	amendment with an effective date of November 1,
11	2018, and technical and conforming amendment
12	authority to staff is in order at this time.
13	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion
14	to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested
15	by the General Counsel?
16	COMMISSIONER BREYER: So moved.
17	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a
18	second?
19	COMMISSIONER BARKOW: Second.
20	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any discussion?
21	The Commission has heard concerns that some
22	courts have interpreted the current commentary to

1	§3E1.1 as automatically precluding the reduction
2	for acceptance of responsibility when the
3	defendant makes an unsuccessful good faith, non-
4	frivolous challenge to relevant conduct.
5	This amendment clarifies that the
6	unsuccessful nature of a challenge to relevant
7	conduct does not necessarily establish that the
8	challenge was either a false denial or frivolous.
9	Vote on the motion by saying aye.
10	MEMBERS: Aye.
11	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any nays? The
12	motion is adopted and let the record reflect that
13	four Commissioners voted in favor of the motion.
14	The General Counsel will advise the
15	Commission on a possible vote on the Bipartisan
16	Budget Act amendment.
17	MS. GRILLI: This proposed amendment
18	responds to the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015
19	which, among other things, amended three existing
20	criminal statutes concerning fraudulent claims
21	under certain Social Security programs.

added

new

The

Act

22

subdivisions

prohibiting conspiracy to commit fraud for 1 substantive offenses contained in 42 United 2 States Code §§ 408, 1011, and 1383a. 3 The proposed amendment would amend Appendix A so 4 that these sections are referenced not only to 5 §2B1.1 but also to the conspiracy guideline, 6 7 §2X1.1. The Act also amended those sections to 8 add increased penalties for certain specified 9 10 persons who commit fraud offenses under 11 relevant Social Security programs. 12 A person who meets these statutory 13 requirements and are convicted of a fraud offense under one of the three amended statutes may be 14 imprisoned for not more than 10 years, which is 15 double the otherwise applicable five-year penalty 16 for other offenders. 17 18 The proposed amendment would amend 19 §2B1.1 to address cases in which a defendant was convicted under these specified statutes and the 20

maximum term of 10 years imprisonment applies by

adding an enhancement of four

21

22

and

levels

1	minimum offense level of 12 for such cases.
2	It also adds commentary specifying
3	that if the enhancement applies, the court should
4	not apply an adjustment under §3B1.3, the abuse
5	of position of trust enhancement. The proposed
6	amendment also makes clarifying technical and
7	conforming changes to other provisions of §2B1.1
8	and its commentary.
9	A motion to promulgate the proposed
10	amendment with an effective date of November 1,
11	2018, and technical and conforming amendment
12	authority to staff would be in order at this
13	time.
14	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion
15	to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested
16	by the General Counsel?
17	COMMISSIONER BREYER: So moved.
18	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a
19	second?
20	COMMISSIONER REEVES: Second.
21	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any discussion?
22	Before I comment on this amendment, I'd like to

1	note the Commission's appreciation for the
2	constructive comment it received from the Senate
3	Committee on Finance, the House Ways and Means
4	Committee, the House Judiciary Committee as well
5	as the Social Security Administration regarding
6	the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015.
7	We value their past and current
8	important work on this topic. This amendment
9	ensures that the guidelines reflect the
10	Bipartisan Budget Act's increased penalties
11	related to fraudulent claims under certain Social
12	Security programs.
13	The proposed sentencing enhancement,
14	in particular, reflects the seriousness with
15	which both Congress and the Commission view
16	violations by defendants in positions of trust
17	engaged in these sophisticated fraudulent
18	schemes.
19	Vote on the motion by saying aye.
20	MEMBERS: Aye.
21	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any nays? The
22	motion is adopted and let the record reflect that

four Commissioners voted in favor of the motion. 1 The General Counsel will advise the 2 3 Commission on a possible vote on Illegal Reentry Guideline Enhancements amendment. 4 This proposed amendment 5 MS. GRILLI: contains two parts, Parts A and B. Part A 6 7 responds to a suggestion that the illegal reentry quideline enhancements for prior convictions 8 9 contain a gap in coverage. neither 10 Specifically, Subsection nor Subsection (b)(3) provide for 11 (b)(2) 12 increase in the defendant's offense level in a 13 situation where a defendant engaged in criminal conduct before being deported or ordered removed 14 from the United States for the first time but did 15 16 not sustain a conviction or convictions for that criminal conduct until after he or she was first 17 18 deported or ordered removed. 19 Part A of the proposed amendment would amend §2L1.2 to cover this situation by revising 20 21 Subsection (b)(2) so that its applicability turns

on when the defendant engaged in the criminal

conduct before he or she was first removed or ordered deported rather than whether the defendant sustained the resulting conviction before that event.

also makes other Part Α nonsubstantive conforming changes to the language of Subsection (b)(3). Part A would also amend the commentary to §2L1.2, adding an application note to provide that in the event that the conduct occurs both before and after deportation, only Subsection (b)(2)'s enhancement should be applied.

В of the proposed amendment issue that arisen in responds to an has litigation concerning how §2L1.2's enhancement for prior convictions apply in a situation where defendant's prior conviction included a term of probation, parole, or supervised release that was subsequently revoked and an additional term of imprisonment imposed.

Part B would revise the definition of "sentence imposed" in Application Note 2 of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	commentary to §2L1.2 to clarify that, consistent
2	with the meaning of "sentence of imprisonment"
3	under §4A1.2, the phrase "sentence imposed" as
4	used in §2L1.2 includes any term of imprisonment
5	given upon revocation of probation, parole, or
6	supervised release regardless of when that
7	revocation occurred.
8	A motion to promulgate the proposed
9	amendment with an effective date of November 1,
10	2018, and technical and conforming amendment
11	authority to staff is appropriate.
12	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion
13	to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested
14	by the General Counsel?
15	COMMISSIONER REEVES: I'll make the
16	motion.
17	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a
18	second?
19	COMMISSIONER BARKOW: Second.
20	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any discussion?
21	As many of you know, the Commission passed a
22	comprehensive amendment to the illegal reentry

quideline in 2016. 1 clarifies This amendment certain 2 3 discrete application issues that have arisen in litigation and that have been brought to our 4 attention through the Department of Justice. The 5 amendment makes clear that the prior criminal 6 7 conduct enhancement should apply regardless of when an illegal reentry offender's conviction is 8 final. 9 This amendment also makes clear that 10 defendants who commit criminal conduct before 11 12 their first order of removal, but who are not 13 convicted until after that order is issued, subject the sentencing 14 are to relevant 15 enhancements. 16 Vote on the motion by saying aye. 17 MEMBERS: Aye. 18 ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any nays? The 19 motion is adopted and let the record reflect that four Commissioners voted in favor of the motion. 20 The General Counsel will advise the 21

Commission on a possible vote on the Synthetic

Drugs amendment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. GRILLI: This proposed amendment is a result of the Commission's multi-year study involving synthetic drugs, fentanyl, and fentanyl analogues. The proposed amendment contains three parts.

Part Α would amend the drug equivalency tables in §2D1.1 to adopt a classbased approach for synthetic to account cathinones, setting forth a single marijuana equivalency of 1 gram to 380 grams of marijuana and making this class-based marijuana equivalency also applicable to methcathinone by deleting the specific reference to that drug from the drug equivalency tables.

It also sets a minimum base offense level of 12 for cases involving synthetic cathinones and provides a departure provision based on the potency of the synthetic cathinone.

Part B would amend the drug equivalency tables in §2D1.1 to adopt a class-based approach to account for synthetic

cannabinoids. It sets a single marijuana equivalency applicable to cannabinoids to 1 gram is equal to 167 grams of marijuana.

It adds a provision defining the term synthetic cannabinoid, provides for a minimum base-offense level of 12, and a departure provision for certain cases involving synthetic cannabinoids.

of Finally, Part С the proposed amendment would amend §2D1.1 in several ways to account for fentanyl and fentanyl analogues. Ιt provides a definition of the term, "fentanyl analoque," sets forth а single marijuana equivalency applicable to fentanyl analogue of 1 gram is equal to 10 kilograms of marijuana, and specifies in the drug quantity table that the fentanyl apply to penalties relating to the substance identified by that specific chemical name applicable to fentanyl in statute.

In addition, Part C of the proposed amendment amends §2D1.1 to provide a four-level enhancement in cases in which fentanyl or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

fentanyl analogue is misrepresented or marketed 1 as another substance. 2 3 A motion to promulgate the proposed amendment with an effective date of November 1, 4 2018, and technical and conforming amendment 5 authority to staff is appropriate. 6 7 ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested 8 by the General Counsel? 9 10 COMMISSIONER REEVES: So moved. 11 ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Ts there а 12 second? 13 COMMISSIONER BREYER: Second. ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any discussion? 14 The Commission will now vote on a multi-part 15 16 amendment regarding synthetic drugs which 17 includes, but is not limited synthetic to, cathinones, otherwise bath 18 known as salts; synthetic cannabinoids, including but not limited 19 to K2 or spice; fentanyl and fentanyl analogues. 20 21 This amendment draws upon public comment, expert

testimony, and data analysis gathered during a

multi-year study of synthetic drugs.

Currently, many new synthetic drugs are not referenced in the Federal Sentencing Guidelines. As a result, courts have faced expensive and resource-intensive hearings. The amendment pending before the Commission today reflects the evolving nature of these new drugs. In addition, it will simplify and promote uniformity in federal sentencing.

The amendment will also create a new guideline definition of the term "fentanyl analogue." The change effectively raises the guideline penalties for fentanyl analogues to a level more consistent with the current statutory penalty structure.

To address the severe dangers posed by fentanyl, the amendment also creates a four-level sentencing enhancement for knowingly misrepresenting or knowingly marketing fentanyl or fentanyl analogues as another substance, which equates to an approximate fifty percent increase in sentence length.

The new amendment also establishes 1 drug ratios and minimum offense levels for 2 two new classes of synthetics drugs: synthetic 3 cathinones and synthetic cannabinoids. 4 Following a multi-year study and series of public hearings 5 with experts, the Commission has determined that 6 7 synthetic cathinones possess a common chemical structure that is sufficiently similar to treat 8 as a single class of synthetic drugs. 9 10 The Commission also found that, while cannabinoids differ in chemical 11 synthetic 12

synthetic cannabinoids differ in chemical structure, the drugs induce similar biological responses and share similar pharmacological effects. In proposing these new drug ratios, the Commission also considered, among other factors, the severity of the medical harms to the user, the current ratios applied in similar cases, known trafficking behaviors, and concerns for public safety.

Vote on the motion by saying aye.

MEMBERS: Aye.

22 | ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any nays? The

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

motion is adopted and let the record reflect that four Commissioners voted in favor of the motion.

The General Counsel will advise the Commission on a possible vote on the Alternatives to Incarceration for Nonviolent First Offenders amendment.

MS. GRILLI: This proposed amendment is a result of the Commission's continued study of alternatives to incarceration. The proposed amendment amends the Commentary to §5C1.1 to add application note stating that if new defendant is a nonviolent first offender and the applicable quideline range is in Zone A or B of the Sentencing Table, the court should consider imposing a sentence other than imprisonment.

The application note defines the term "nonviolent first offender" as "a defendant who has no prior convictions or any other comparable judicial dispositions of any kind and who did not use violence or credible threats of violence or possess a firearm or other dangerous weapon in connection with the offense of conviction."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	In addition, the proposed amendment
2	amends the Commentary to §5F1.2 to remove
3	language instructing that electronic monitoring
4	ordinarily should be used in connection with home
5	detention. Alternative means of surveillance may
6	be used, so long as they are effective as
7	electronic monitoring and surveillance necessary
8	for effective use of home detention ordinarily
9	requires electronic monitoring.
10	Finally, the proposed amendment makes
11	conforming changes to other provisions in Chapter
12	Five. A motion to promulgate the proposed
13	amendment with an effective date of November 1,
14	2018, and technical and confirming amendment
15	authority to staff would be in order at this
16	time.
17	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a motion
18	to promulgate the proposed amendment as suggested
19	by the General Counsel?
20	COMMISSIONER BARKOW: So moved.
21	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a
22	second?

1	COMMISSIONER BREYER: Second.
2	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there any
3	discussion?
4	I'd like to discuss the Commission's
5	reason for considering this new application note.
6	The new application note provides that judges
7	should consider alternative sentencing options
8	for "nonviolent first offenders" whose applicable
9	guideline range falls within Zones A or B.
10	Eligible defendants must not have any
11	prior convictions and must not have used
12	violence, credible threats of violence, or
13	possessed a firearm or other dangerous weapon in
14	the offense. This narrowly-tailored amendment is
15	consistent with the directive to the Commission
16	in 28 U.S.C. § 994(j).
17	Vote on the motion by saying aye.
18	MEMBERS: Aye.
19	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Any nays? The
20	motion is adopted and let the record reflect that
21	four Commissioners voted in favor of the motion.
22	I would like to acknowledge the unique

challenge that the Commission faced during the current amendment cycle. The Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 contemplates that there will be seven voting members on the Commission appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate.

While setting sentencing policy is always difficult because it impacts the liberty of our fellow citizens, reaching consensus was particularly challenging and critical this amendment cycle. Under the statute, we need an affirmative vote of four Commissioners to approve any pending amendments.

Among the four of us here today, the unanimous agreement on this slate of amendments reflects even more collaboration and compromise than in a typical amendment cycle. I would like to thank my fellow Commissioners for their time and service.

We worked together to develop solutions that improve the Federal Sentencing Gfuidelines in a manner that balances fairness, justice, fiscal responsibility, and public

1 safety. look forward to working with my 2 3 colleagues to strengthen and to simplify the guidelines. Working together, we can continue our 4 efforts to ensure clear and effective guidance 5 for federal courts across the country. 6 7 As one important part of that ongoing work, I would like to mention an upcoming event, 8 the Commission's National Seminar on the Federal 9 10 Sentencing Guidelines in San Antonio, Texas. The seminar will take place from May 11 12 30th through June 1st. These annual trainings 13 provide specialized instruction to probation officers, prosecutors, and defense attorneys on 14 the guidelines. I look forward to seeing many of 15 16 you there. Is there any further business before 17 the Commission? 18

behalf

thank

Without your leadership, it would

COMMISSIONER BREYER:

on

here to

think

Ι

Commissioners

leadership.

speak

19

20

21

22

Ι

three

your

Just this.

the

for

of

you

1	have been impossible to arrive at a consensus on
2	these amendments, sothank you.
3	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Thank you.
4	Is there a motion to adjourn?
5	COMMISSIONER BARKOW: Yes. So moved.
6	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Is there a
7	second?
8	COMMISSIONER REEVES: Second.
9	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Vote on the
10	motion by saying aye.
11	MEMBERS: Aye.
12	ACTING CHAIR PRYOR: Anyone opposed?
13	The motion is adopted by voice vote and the
14	meeting is adjourned. Have a great day.
15	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
16	went off the record at 12:02 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	