## DAVID VITTER LOUISIANA

**DEPUTY WHIP** 

Small Business and Entrepreneurship Chairman

**Environment and Public Works** 

Transportation and Infrastructure Subcommittee Chairman

Judiciary

Immigration, Refugees and Border Security Subcommittee Deputy Chairman

Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

## United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

March 21, 2016

WASHINGTON D.C.

Hart Senate Office Building Suite SH-516 Washington, DC 20510 (202) 224–4623

## **BATON ROUGE**

858 Convention Street Baton Rouge, LA 70802 (225) 383-0331 Fax: (225) 383-0952

Website with E-Mail Access: vitter.senate.gov

The Honorable Patti B. Saris United States Sentencing Commission One Columbus Circle NW, Suite 2-500 Washington DC 20002

Dear Chief Judge Saris:

Thank you for proposing a revised animal fighting amendment to the U.S. Sentencing Commission Guidelines. The Commission's attention to this issue reflects the importance that both Congress and the American public have placed on prosecuting and preventing this terrible animal cruelty. Ensuring meaningful sentences for animal fighting will allow federal law enforcement to better deter this heinous crime.

As an original cosponsor of the "Animal Fighting Spectator Prohibition Act," the legislation passed as part of the 2014 Farm Bill to penalize spectators and those who bring children to animal fights, I appreciate the Commission's proposal for a guideline recognizing this new law. I encourage the Commission to adopt the higher of the two proposed base offense levels (10) for the felony bringing a child to an animal fight.

Additionally, I appreciate the Commission's proposal to raise the base offense level for the crime of animal fighting to 16, but this change alone is lacking. Once mitigating factors are considered, the typical animal fighting sentence could be as low as 12 months. This does not sufficiently reflect the intent of the five year statutory maximum which Congress passed in 2008.

I ask the Commission to include specific offense characteristics in its guideline to provide elevated sentences when offenses are especially cruel, dangerous, or harmful to the public's interest. These specific offense characteristics would allow for higher sentences in cases where animals suffer egregious cruelty or neglect, where illegal weapons threaten the public or law enforcement, and where offenders possess a high degree of participation in the operation and business of animal fighting enterprises.

Thank you for retaining the guideline's upward departure provision for when cases involve extraordinary cruelty or large-scale animal fighting enterprises. This will preserve judges' ability and discretion to address these circumstances. I appreciate your attention to this very important issue.

David Vitter U.S. Senator