

From: [Cynthia Reyes](#) [REDACTED]
To: [Public Comment](#)
Subject: Ref: Comments/ Questions?
Date: Friday, March 04, 2016 2:59:21 PM

Upon viewing the training video regarding the amendments being considered in 2L1.2, I have several issues or concerns regarding the revisions.

The first being the analysis required to determine the prior convictions and deportations. For example, currently, in order to assess an enhancement one must conduct a Taylor Analysis to determine the validity of the prior conviction. Would there still be such a requirement to the new 2L1.2 cases. What type of supporting documentation would be needed to prove the enhancement or better yet what type of documentation would be needed to prove up the prior deports? As is stands, in the Southern District of Texas, we are given copies of the I-205, the removal order signed by the defendant by immigration officials or from the A file, for at the very least the last deportation; but what if some of the earlier ones are no longer available?

Also, the disparities in sentences across the nation is something to consider as it has been my experience that a person who is convicted of a drug offense in Texas for a low amount of drug quantity may get a probated sentence or a low imprisonment sentence, where as in other states a low amount of drug quantity may warrant a higher sentence.

Lastly, if the amendment would be approved, would there be some type of upward departure in 2L1.2 cases, to take into account prior convictions that may have been serious in nature, i.e. the forcible sex offense and other types of offenses that would have once been considered enumerated? Or is the enumerate factor something that will no longer be considered at all, if not...would this be fair?

Thank you for your time and attention to these matters!

Cynthia Ann Reyes
U.S. Probation Officer
SDTX-McAllen Division

[REDACTED]