U.S. Sentencing Commission Preliminary Crack Cocaine Retroactivity Data Report



July 2010 Data

Introduction

As part of its ongoing mission, the United States Sentencing Commission provides Congress, the judiciary, the executive branch, and the general public with data extracted from and based on sentencing documents submitted by courts to the Commission.¹ Data is reported on an annual basis in the Commission's *Annual Report* and *Sourcebook of Federal Sentencing Statistics*.²

The Commission also reports preliminary data for an on-going fiscal year in order to provide real-time analysis of sentencing practices in the federal courts. Since 2005, the Commission has published a series of quarterly reports that are similar in format and methodology to tables and figures produced in the *Sourcebook of Federal Sentencing Statistics* or in the Commission's *Final Report on the Impact of the United States v. Booker on Federal Sentencing*.³ The quarterly reports contain cumulative data for the on-going fiscal year (i.e., data from the start of the fiscal year through the most current quarter).

This report is another in the Commission's efforts to provide analysis of federal sentencing practices. It provides data concerning recent court decisions considering motions to reduce the length of imprisonment for certain offenders convicted of offenses involving crack cocaine prior to November 1, 2007.

On May 1, 2007, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(a) and (p), the Commission submitted to Congress amendments to the federal sentencing guidelines that became effective on November 1, 2007. One of those amendments, Amendment 706, modified the drug quantity thresholds in the Drug Quantity Table of §2D1.1 so as to assign, for crack cocaine offenses, base offense levels corresponding to guideline ranges that include the statutory mandatory minimum penalties. Crack cocaine offenses for quantities above and below the mandatory minimum threshold quantities similarly were adjusted downward by two levels. The amendment also included a mechanism to determine a combined base offense level in an offense involving crack cocaine and other controlled substances.

On December 11, 2007, the Commission voted to promulgate Amendment 713, which added Amendment 706 as amended by 711, to the amendments listed in subsection (c) in §1B1.10 that apply retroactively. The Commission voted to make Amendment 713 effective on March 3, 2008. As a result, some incarcerated offenders are eligible to receive a reduction in their sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) pursuant to Amendment 706.

¹ In each felony or Class A misdemeanor case sentenced in federal court, sentencing courts are required to submit the following documents to the Commission: the judgment and commitment order, the statement of reasons, the plea agreement (if applicable), the indictment or other charging document, and the presentence report. See 28 U.S.C. § 994(w).

² See the Commission's website, www.ussc.gov, for electronic copies of the 1995-2007 Annual Report and Sourcebook of Federal Sentencing Statistics.

³ See www.ussc.gov/bf.htm for an electronic copy of the Commission's *Final Report on the Impact of United States v. Booker on Federal Sentencing.*

This report provides information on all cases reported to the Commission in which the court considered a motion to reduce a sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for an offender convicted of an offense involving crack cocaine. The data in this report represents information concerning motions decided through July 8, 2010, and for which court documentation was received, coded and edited at the U.S. Sentencing Commission by July 20, 2010. Users of this information are cautioned that the data are preliminary only and subject to change as the Commission receives, analyzes, and reports on additional cases.

In particular, the reader is cautioned with respect to drawing conclusions based on data concerning the denial of motions for sentence reduction pursuant to the crack cocaine amendment, as the judicial districts are employing various methods to prioritize the review of these motions. For example, in many districts, contested motions have not been decided by the court. Consequently, the data the Commission has received to date concerning cases in which the motion for a sentence reduction was denied may not be representative of the decisions that ultimately may be made in all districts or the nation as a whole.

Granted Denied Granted Denied District District Ν Ν N % Ν % Ν % Ν % TOTAL 24,209 15.848 65.5 8.361 34.5 Eastern Virginia 1,548 1,011 65.3 537 34.7 Kansas 196 193 98.5 3 1.5 Middle Florida 1,325 718 54.2 607 45.8 Massachusetts 195 128 65.6 67 34.4 Western North Carolina 936 420 44.9 516 55.1 Western Kentucky 181 89 49.2 92 50.8 Western Wisconsin 179 South Carolina 926 722 78.0 204 22.0 127 70.9 52 29.1 Eastern North Carolina 863 471 392 45.4 Southern Iowa 169 100 69 40.8 54.6 59.2 Western Virginia 838 323 38.5 Northern New York 47 29.2 515 61.5 161 114 70.8 207 32.1 Western Texas 645 438 Eastern Arkansas 159 104 55 67.9 65.4 34.6 Eastern Texas 569 437 76.8 132 23.2 Eastern Kentucky 153 79 51.6 74 48.4 Northern Florida 555 236 42.5 319 57.5 Northern Mississippi 152 152 100.0 0 0.0 Southern Florida 551 270 49.0 281 51.0 Eastern Wisconsin 142 101 71.1 41 28.9 Eastern Missouri 517 450 67 13.0 Middle Alabama 138 131 94.9 7 5.1 87.0 Southern New York 453 189 41.7 264 58.3 Colorado 131 69 52.7 62 47.3 Northern Texas 451 183 40.6 129 82.2 23 17.8 268 59.4 New Jersey 106 441 129 124 50 40.3 Maryland 312 70.7 29.3 Northern Georgia 74 59.7 Southern Georgia 432 215 49.8 217 50.2 Western Pennsylvania 118 106 89.8 12 10.2 Eastern Louisiana 431 199 46.2 232 53.8 Southern Indiana 108 63 58.3 45 41.7 Northern West Virginia 430 425 98.8 5 1.2 Maine 107 59 55.1 48 44.9 251 Central Illinois 411 160 38.9 61.1 Middle Louisiana 101 66 65.3 35 34.7 Middle Georgia 402 308 76.6 94 23.4 Central California 99 64 64.6 35 35.4 391 42.2 94 48 48.9 Western Missouri 226 57.8 165 New Hampshire 51.1 46 385 Southern Texas 278 72.2 107 27.8 Eastern California 93 92 98.9 1 1.1 379 254 67.0 125 33.0 Western Arkansas 85 52 61.2 33 38.8 Southern Alabama Southern West Virginia 361 262 72.6 99 27.4 Northern Oklahoma 77 43 55.8 34 44.2 Western Oklahoma Western Louisiana 356 203 57.0 153 43.0 71 71 100.0 0 0.0 Middle Pennsylvania 344 220 124 36.0 Alaska 70 41 29 41.4 64.0 58.6 Northern Ohio 344 308 89.5 36 10.5 Rhode Island 69 56 81.2 13 18.8 Southern Illinois 318 282 88.7 36 11.3 Nevada 67 58 86.6 9 13.4 305 20.0 57 44 77.2 13 22.8 Nebraska 244 80.0 61 Middle Tennessee Eastern Tennessee 295 181 61.4 114 38.6 Western Washington 48 47 97.9 2.1 1 Middle North Carolina 283 153 130 45.9 New Mexico 46 42 91.3 8.7 54.1 4 Northern Illinois 282 248 87.9 34 12.1 Northern California 42 42 100.0 0 0.0 Northern Alabama 274 130 47.4 144 52.6 Delaware 35 26 74.3 9 25.7 Connecticut 273 174 99 29 25 63.7 36.3 Hawaii 86.2 4 13.8 Northern Indiana 271 218 80.4 53 19.6 Vermont 23 23 100.0 0 0.0 172 20 19 Puerto Rico 266 94 35.3 64.7 Oregon 95.0 1 5.0 Eastern Pennsylvania 265 216 81.5 49 18.5 Eastern Oklahoma 17 13 76.5 4 23.5 Minnesota 263 184 79 30.0 Utah 17 94.1 5.9 70.0 16 1 Northern Iowa 261 147 56.3 114 43.7 Eastern Washington 16 9 56.3 7 43.8 247 Southern Ohio 211 36 Southern California 13 13 100.0 0 0.0 85.4 14.6 245 217 28 50.0 Eastern Michigan 88.6 11.4 Montana 8 4 50.0 4 7 Southern Mississippi 235 197 83.8 38 16.2 South Dakota 7 100.0 0 0.0 Western Michigan 235 122 51.9 113 48.1 Virgin Islands 5 5 100.0 0 0.0 District of Columbia 220 209 3 100.0 0 0.0 95.0 11 5.0 Arizona 3 Western New York 218 137 62.8 81 37.2 3 33.3 Idaho 2 66.7 1 Western Tennessee 212 143 67.5 69 32.5 Wyoming 1 1 100.0 0 0.0 Eastern New York 199 99 49.7 100 50.3

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICATION OF RETROACTIVE CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT BY DISTRICT

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF APPLICATION OF RETROACTIVE CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT BY JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Circuit	Ν	Granted	Denied
TOTAL	24,209	15,848	8,361
FOURTH CIRCUIT	6,626	4,291	2,335
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	4,180	2,336	1,844
FIFTH CIRCUIT	3,325	2,238	1,087
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	2,157	1,514	643
SIXTH CIRCUIT	1,969	1,394	575
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	1,711	1,199	512
SECOND CIRCUIT	1,327	736	591
THIRD CIRCUIT	896	679	217
FIRST CIRCUIT	731	385	346
TENTH CIRCUIT	556	448	108
NINTH CIRCUIT	511	419	92
D.C. CIRCUIT	220	209	11

Fiscal	Fiscal Total		d Granted		
Year	N	Ν	%	Ν	%
Total	23,616	15,491	65.6	8,125	34.4
2009	50	2	4.0	48	96.0
2008	587	147	25.0	440	75.0
2007	3,368	2,302	68.3	1,066	31.7
2006	3,279	2,326	70.9	953	29.1
2005	2,833	1,926	68.0	907	32.0
2004	2,377	1,648	69.3	729	30.7
2003	2,222	1,491	67.1	731	32.9
2002	1,728	1,145	66.3	583	33.7
2001	1,374	916	66.7	458	33.3
2000	1,232	783	63.6	449	36.4
1999	993	648	65.3	345	34.7
1998	782	488	62.4	294	37.6
1997	614	383	62.4	231	37.6
1996	578	364	63.0	214	37.0
1995	422	256	60.7	166	39.3
1994	388	205	52.8	183	47.2
1993	285	163	57.2	122	42.8
1992	216	127	58.8	89	41.2
1991	122	69	56.6	53	43.4
1990	115	65	56.5	50	43.5
1989	51	37	72.5	14	27.5

APPLICATION OF RETROACTIVE CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT BY YEAR OF ORIGINAL SENTENCE¹

¹Of the 24,209 cases, 593 were excluded from this analysis because the case cannot be matched with an original case in the Commission's records.

		Defendant		Director E	BOP ²	Court		
CIRCUIT	Ν	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	
TOTAL	14,443	12,108	83.8	0	0.0	2,335	16.2	
D.C. CIRCUIT	183	179	97.8	0	0.0	4	2.2	
FIRST CIRCUIT	374	318	85.0	0	0.0	56	15.0	
SECOND CIRCUIT	690	476	69.0	0	0.0	214	31.0	
THIRD CIRCUIT	582	576	99.0	0	0.0	6	1.0	
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,960	3,373	85.2	0	0.0	587	14.8	
FIFTH CIRCUIT	1,935	1,437	74.3	0	0.0	498	25.7	
SIXTH CIRCUIT	1,281	1,137	88.8	0	0.0	144	11.2	
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	1,168	1,136	97.3	0	0.0	32	2.7	
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	1,443	1,340	92.9	0	0.0	103	7.1	
NINTH CIRCUIT	330	295	89.4	0	0.0	35	10.6	
TENTH CIRCUIT	438	418	95.4	0	0.0	20	4.6	
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,059	1,423	69.1	0	0.0	636	30.9	

ORIGIN OF GRANTED MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION DUE TO RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT¹

¹Of the 15,848 cases in which the court granted a motion for a sentence reduction due to retroactive application of the crack cocaine amendment, 1,467 were excluded from this analysis because the information received by the Commission prevented a determination of motion origin. Additionally, courts may cite multiple origins for a motion; consequently, the total number of origins cited generally exceeds the total number of cases. In this table, 14,443 origins were cited for the 14,381 cases.

²In nine cases, documents provided to the Commission indicated that the Bureau of Prisons Director made a motion. Those cases appear to be clerical errors.

	Grante	d	Denied ¹		
Total	Ν	%	Ν	%	
1,013	920	5.9	93	5.0	
14,946	13,329	86.0	1,617	86.8	
1,248	1,106	7.1	142	7.6	
146	135	0.9	11	0.6	
17,353	15,490		1,863		
16,285	14,510	94.8	1,775	95.2	
885	796	5.2	89	4.8	
17,170	15,306		1,864		
16,456	14,679	94.0	1,777	95.2	
1,020	930	6.0	90	4.8	
17,476	15,609		1,867		
30	30		30		
	1,013 14,946 1,248 146 17,353 16,285 885 17,170 16,456 1,020 17,476	Total N 1,013 920 14,946 13,329 1,248 1,106 146 135 17,353 15,490 16,285 14,510 885 796 17,170 15,306 16,456 14,679 1,020 930 17,476 15,609	1,013 920 5.9 $14,946$ $13,329$ 86.0 $1,248$ $1,106$ 7.1 146 135 0.9 $17,353$ $15,490$ $16,285$ $14,510$ 94.8 885 796 5.2 $17,170$ $15,306$ $16,456$ $14,679$ 94.0 $1,020$ 930 6.0 $17,476$ $15,609$	TotalN%N1,0139205.99314,94613,32986.01,6171,2481,1067.11421461350.91117,35315,4901,86316,28514,51094.81,7758857965.28917,17015,3061,86416,45614,67994.01,7771,0209306.09017,47615,6091,867	

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF OFFENDERS CONSIDERED FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION DUE TO APPLICATION OF RETROACTIVE CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT

¹The 1,867 offenders represented in this column are those whom the Commission previously identified as eligible to seek a sentence reduction but whose petition for a reduction was denied by the court. Of the remaining 6,494 cases in which the court denied the request for a sentence reduction, 4,233 were excluded from this analysis because the offender was not previously identified as eligible to seek a sentence reduction for one or more reasons (*see* 'Analysis of the Impact of the Crack Cocaine Amendment If Made Retroactive' (October 3, 2007) *available at* www.ussc.gov). Of the remaining 2,261 cases, 515 were excluded from this analysis because the offender had been identified as released or projected to be released prior to November 1, 2007 and so was excluded from the Commission's prior analysis of eligible offenders, 591 were excluded from this analysis because crack cocaine was not involved in the offense, and 236 were excluded from this analysis because the reason for the court's decision cannot yet be determined.

SELECTED SENTENCING FACTORS FOR OFFENDERS WHO WERE CONSIDERED FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION DUE TO APPLICATION OF RETROACTIVE CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT

	All Cases	Granted	Denied ¹
	%	%	%
Weapon			
Weapon Specific Offense Characteristic	24.3	23.9	28.1
Firearms Mandatory Minimum Applied	10.3	9.9	13.8
Safety Valve	9.1	9.7	4.5
Guideline Role Adjustments			
Aggravating Role (USSG §3B1.1)	10.2	9.2	18.1
Mitigating Role (USSG §3B1.2)	2.9	2.7	4.6
Obstruction Adjustment (USSG §3C1.1)	6.2	6.0	7.2
Sentence Relative to the Guideline Range			
Within Range	69.2	70.9	55.3
Above Range	0.4	0.3	1.1
Below Range	30.4	28.8	43.6
Criminal History Category			
I	22.0	22.8	15.9
II	12.9	12.9	12.6
III	22.9	23.1	21.7
IV	16.9	17.2	13.7
V	10.3	10.2	11.4
VI	14.9	13.8	24.7

¹The 1,867 offenders represented in this column are those whom the Commission previously identified as eligible to seek a sentence reduction but whose petition for a reduction was denied by the court. Of the remaining 6,494 cases in which the court denied the request for a sentence reduction, 4,233 were excluded from this analysis because the offender was not previously identified as eligible to seek a sentence reduction for one or more reasons (*see* 'Analysis of the Impact of the Crack Cocaine Amendment If Made Retroactive' (October 3, 2007) *available at* www.ussc.gov). Of the remaining 2,261 cases, 515 were excluded from this analysis because the offender had been identified as released or projected to be released prior to November 1, 2007 and so was excluded from the Commission's prior analysis of eligible offenders, 591 were excluded from this analysis because the offender was not sentenced for a drug offense, 919 were excluded from this analysis because crack cocaine was not involved in the offense, and 236 were excluded from this analysis because the reason for the court's decision cannot yet be determined.

POSITION OF WITHIN RANGE SENTENCES FOR OFFENDERS GRANTED A SENTENCE REDUCTION DUE TO APPLICATION OF RETROACTIVE CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT¹

	ORIGINAL SEI	ORIGINAL SENTENCE		TENCE
	N	%	Ν	%
TOTAL	6,291	100.0	6,291	100.0
Guideline Minimum	4,066	64.6	4,204	66.8
Lower Half of Range	1,097	17.4	842	13.4
Midpoint of Range	300	4.8	466	7.4
Upper Half of Range	418	6.6	385	6.1
Guideline Maximum	410	6.5	394	6.3

¹Of the 15,848 cases in which a motion for retroactive application of the crack cocaine amendment was granted, 8,202 received a sentence within the guideline range at both their original and current sentencing. Of these, 1,911 cases were excluded from this analysis due to one or more of the following reasons: the case is missing sentence length or guideline relevant statutory information from the new sentence (1,391), the case is missing sentence length or guideline relevant statutory information from the new sentence had a guideline minimum and maximum that were identical (184) or the original sentence had a guideline minimum and maximum that were identical (30).

DEGREE OF DECREASE IN SENTENCE DUE TO RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT¹

		Average	Average		
		Current	New	Average Decrease	Average Percent
CIRCUIT		Sentence	Sentence	in Months From	Decrease From
District	Ν	in Months	in Months	Current Sentence	Current Sentence
TOTAL	14,276	147	122	26	17.0
D.C. CIRCUIT	141	133	112	21	15.9
District of Columbia	141	133	112	21	15.9
FIRST CIRCUIT	332	120	99	21	17.3
Maine	59	125	103	22	16.7
Massachusetts	92	139	116	23	16.8
New Hampshire	46	94	76	18	19.4
Puerto Rico	88	108	87	21	18.0
Rhode Island	47	125	106	20	15.7
SECOND CIRCUIT	626	122	103	19	15.8
Connecticut	149	115	96	20	17.2
New York					
Eastern	86	121	100	21	17.1
Northern	80	134	113	21	15.6
Southern	169	140	119	21	14.7
Western	126	103	89	15	14.5
Vermont	16	97	78	18	18.6
THIRD CIRCUIT	572	131	110	22	16.2
Delaware	25	165	136	30	17.6
New Jersey	102	119	100	19	15.9
Pennsylvania					
Eastern	176	147	121	26	16.4
Middle	176	124	104	20	16.4
Western	92	120	102	18	15.5
Virgin Islands	1				
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,936	155	128	27	16.9
Maryland	271	160	132	29	17.5
North Carolina					
Eastern	460	172	143	29	16.6
Middle	148	151	125	26	16.5
Western	331	187	157	30	15.4
South Carolina	697	154	126	28	17.5
Virginia					
Eastern	923	156	129	27	16.9
Western	490	150	129	24	15.5
West Virginia		102			1010
Northern	365	117	95	22	18.2
Southern	251	138	112	26	18.5
	201	100	112	_5	10.0

Table 8 (continued)

DEGREE OF DECREASE IN SENTENCE DUE TO RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT

CIRCUIT		Average Current Sentence	Average New Sentence	Average Decrease in Months From	Average Percent Decrease From
District	Ν	in Months	in Months	Current Sentence	Current Sentence
FIFTH CIRCUIT	2,047	144	119	25	17.2
Louisiana	,				
Eastern	188	135	117	19	13.8
Middle	57	118	100	18	15.3
Western	184	163	135	28	17.1
Mississippi					
Northern	137	123	101	22	18.0
Southern	178	123	101	21	17.4
Texas	170	124	105	21	17.7
Eastern	430	137	111	26	18.7
Northern	430 254	175	143	31	18.7
Southern	227	153	128	26	16.2
Western	392	140	116	24	17.3
SIXTH CIRCUIT	1,307	126	105	21	16.2
Kentucky					
Eastern	71	104	87	18	16.3
Western	88	124	106	18	14.5
Michigan					
Eastern	168	155	127	27	16.7
Western	120	102	87	15	15.1
Ohio	120	102	07	15	15.1
Northern	304	107	89	18	17.2
Southern	206	133	111	22	16.3
	206	155	111	22	10.3
Tennessee				•	
Eastern	177	133	114	20	14.4
Middle	39	149	121	28	17.0
Western	134	138	115	24	16.9
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	1,095	154	126	28	17.7
Illinois					
Central	145	167	138	29	17.0
Northern	221	146	121	25	16.7
Southern	275	169	136	32	18.0
Indiana					
Northern	209	131	108	22	17.2
Southern	50	194	163	31	15.6
Wisconsin					
Eastern	94	131	106	24	18.7
Western	101	163	128	35	21.1
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	1 250	1 4 1	117	25	17.0
	1,350	141	116	25	16.9
Arkansas		1.40		25	17.0
Eastern	82	140	115	25	17.9
Western	52	101	85	16	16.7
Iowa					
Northern	127	164	135	29	17.6
Southern	94	159	133	26	16.3
Minnesota	157	173	142	31	17.0
Missouri					
Eastern	419	116	97	19	16.2
Western	180	159	131	29	17.0
Nebraska	233	138	114	25	17.1
North Dakota	0				
South Dakota	6	137	78	60	44.1
	-				

Table 8 (continued)

DEGREE OF DECREASE IN SENTENCE DUE TO RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF CRACK COCAINE AMENDMENT

CIRCUIT District	Ν	Average Current Sentence in Months	Average New Sentence in Months	Average Decrease in Months From Current Sentence	Average Percent Decrease From Current Sentence
NINTH CIRCUIT					
	373	144	120	24	16.1
Alaska	38	157	136	22	14.1
Arizona California	3	213	171	42	19.8
California	5.4	164	100	20	10.2
Central Eastern	54 81	164 142	133	30 24	18.3 16.4
			118		
Northern Southern	39	115	99	16	14.0
	9	154	133	21	14.5
Guam	0				
Hawaii	18	125	104	21	16.3
Idaho Mantana	2				
Montana	4	102	90	12	13.1
Nevada	56	155	129	26	16.3
Northern Mariana Islands	0				
Oregon	14	112	95	17	16.7
Washington		100			
Eastern	9	138	121	17	12.1
Western	46	143	115	28	17.3
TENTH CIRCUIT	402	152	125	26	17.1
Colorado	65	161	132	29	17.5
Kansas	174	129	107	22	16.8
New Mexico	41	145	120	25	16.8
Oklahoma					
Eastern	13	161	134	27	18.4
Northern	40	191	159	32	16.2
Western	54	194	159	36	18.5
Utah	14	120	100	20	16.0
Wyoming	1				
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Alabama	2,095	167	136	30	17.6
Middle	129	176	145	31	17.0
Northern	129	138	145	21	14.4
Southern	248	138	117	36	14.4
Florida	240	171	150	50	10.1
Middle	679	164	132	32	18.4
Northern	203	232	132	52 44	18.4
Southern	203	139	116	44 24	18.2
Georgia	230	139	110	24	10.7
Middle	227	100	103	25	19.2
Northern	237 67	128 187		25 34	19.2
Southern	175	162	153 138	24	14.1
Soulion	175	102	138	24	14.1

¹Of the 24,209 cases, 593 were excluded from this analysis because the case cannot be matched with an original case in the Commission's records and 8,125 were excluded from this analysis because the court denied the motion for a sentence reduction. Of the remaining 15,491 cases, 1,215 were excluded from this analysis because the offender was sentenced to time served and the resulting term of imprisonment could not be determined from the records received by the Commission.

REASONS GIVEN BY SENTENCING COURTS FOR DENIAL OF MOTION¹

REASONS	Ν	%
Offense does not involve crack cocaine	995	10.8
Case does not involve crack cocaine	836	9.1
Sentence is determined by a non-drug guideline	159	1.7
Offender not eligible under §1B1.10	6,082	66.3
Career Offender or Armed Career Criminal provisions control sentence	2,225	24.2
Statutory mandatory minimum controls sentence	2,204	24.0
Case involved more than 4.5 kg of crack cocaine	832	9.1
Guideline range does not change	290	3.2
Base offense level does not change (due to multiple drugs)	247	2.7
Original sentence has been served	195	2.1
Statutory maximum sentence is less than applicable guideline range	82	0.9
Base offense level is 12 or lower	7	0.1
Base offense level is 43	0	0.0
Denied on the merits	1,406	15.3
Offender has already benefitted from departure or variance	485	5.3
Offender subject to guideline reduction at original sentencing	249	2.7
18 U.S.C § 3553(a) factors	227	2.5
Protection of the public	196	2.1
Post-sentencing or post-conviction conduct	159	1.7
Denial because of binding plea	90	1.0
No reason provided/Other reason	699	7.6
No reason provided	406	4.4
Other	293	3.2

¹Courts may cite multiple reasons for denying a motion; consequently, the total number of reasons cited generally exceeds the total number of cases. In this table, 9,182 reasons were cited for the 8,361 cases. Of the 406 cases in which the court did not give a reason for the denial, 262 were previously identified as ineligible by the Commission for sentence reduction (*see* 'Analysis of the Impact of the Crack Cocaine Amendment If Made Retroactive' (October 3, 2007) *available at* www.ussc.gov). Of those 262 cases, a statutory mandatory minimum controlled the sentence in 43 cases, in 26 cases the quantity of crack cocaine in the case exceeded 4.5 Kg, in 38 cases the sentence was determined by a non-drug guideline, in 13 cases no change in the guideline range was found, in 51 cases crack cocaine was not involved, in 56 cases Career Offender or Armed Career Criminal provisions controlled the sentence, in 22 cases the offender was predicted to have been released, in eight cases the Bureau of Prisons informed the Commission that the offender was no longer serving time for the instant offense, in one case the base offense level was 12 or lower, in three cases the base offense level was 43 and in one case there was no record on file with the Bureau of Prisons.