

**Table 18**

**OFFENDERS RECEIVING EACH CHAPTER THREE GUIDELINE ADJUSTMENT<sup>1</sup>**  
**Fiscal Year 2017**

<b>VICTIM-RELATED</b>	N	%
<b>Vulnerable Victim (§3A1.1)</b>		
Vulnerable victim involved	363	0.6
No vulnerable victim involved	61,498	99.3
Hate crime	19	0.0
Vulnerable victim involved and large number of victims	26	0.0
Vulnerable victim involved and hate crime	1	0.0
Vulnerable victim involved, hate crime, and large number of victims	0	0.0
<b>Official Victim (§3A1.2)</b>		
Official victim involved	23	0.0
Offense against person or involved substantial risk of serious bodily injury	169	0.3
No official victim involved	61,715	99.7
<b>Restraint of Victim (§3A1.3)</b>		
Offense involved restraint of victim	82	0.1
Offense did not involve restraint of victim	61,825	99.9
<b>Terrorism (§3A1.4)</b>		
Offense involved a federal crime of terrorism	39	0.1
Offense did not involve a federal crime of terrorism	61,861	99.9
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	7	0.0
<b>Serious Human Rights Offense (§3A1.5)</b>		
Offender convicted of a serious human rights offense	0	0.0
Offender was not convicted of a serious human rights offense	61,805	99.8
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	102	0.2
<b>VICTIM-RELATED TOTAL</b>	<b>61,907</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>ROLE IN THE OFFENSE</b>	N	%
<b>Aggravating Role (§3B1.1)</b>		
Organizer or leader	960	1.6
Manager or supervisor	771	1.2
Lesser organizer, leader, manager, or supervisor	1,187	1.9
No aggravating role	58,989	95.3
<b>Mitigating Role (§3B1.2)</b>		
Minimal participant	935	1.5
Less than minor role but not minimal	349	0.6
Minor participant	3,764	6.1
No mitigating role	56,859	91.8
<b>Abuse of Position of Trust or Use of Special Skill (§3B1.3)</b>		
Offender abused position of trust or used special skill	1,480	2.4
Offender did not abuse position of trust or use special skill	60,427	97.6

**Table 18 (cont.)**

<b>Use of a Minor to Commit a Crime (§3B1.4)</b>		
Offender used a minor	177	0.3
Offender did not use a minor	61,723	99.7
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	7	0.0
<b>Use of Body Armor to Commit a Crime (§3B1.5)</b>		
Offender used body armor (+2)	5	0.0
Offender used body armor (+4)	6	0.0
Offender did not use body armor	61,880	100.0
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	16	0.0
<b>ROLE IN THE OFFENSE TOTAL</b>	<b>61,907</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>OBSTRUCTION</b>	N	%
<b>Obstruction of Justice (§3C1.1)<sup>2</sup></b>		
Offender obstructed justice	1,319	2.1
Offender did not obstruct justice	60,588	97.9
<b>Reckless Endangerment During Flight (§3C1.2)</b>		
Offense involved reckless endangerment during flight	573	0.9
Offense did not involve reckless endangerment during flight	61,334	99.1
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	0	0.0
<b>Commission of Offense While on Release (§3C1.3)</b>		
Offense involved commission of offense while on release	80	0.1
Offense did not involve commission of offense while on release	61,807	99.8
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	20	0.0
<b>False Registration of Domain Name (§3C1.4)</b>		
Offense involved false registration of domain name	2	0.0
Offense did not involve false registration of domain name	61,885	100.0
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	20	0.0
<b>OBSTRUCTION TOTAL</b>	<b>61,907</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY</b>	N	%
<b>Acceptance of Responsibility (§3E1.1)</b>		
Offender accepted responsibility (-3)	37,576	60.7
Offender accepted responsibility (-2)	21,710	35.1
Offender did not accept responsibility	2,630	4.2
<b>ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>61,916</b>	<b>100.0</b>

<sup>1</sup> Of the 66,873 cases, the Commission received complete guideline application information for 61,917 cases. Of these, ten cases were excluded from the calculations due to missing Chapter Three adjustment information on vulnerable victim, official victim, restraint of victim, terrorism, human rights, aggravating role, mitigating role, abuse of position, use of minor, use of body armor, obstruction of justice, reckless endangerment, commission of offense while on release, false registration of domain name, and one case due to missing information on acceptance of responsibility. Descriptions of each guideline adjustment can be found in USSG Chapter Three.

<sup>2</sup> When an offender uses computer encryption during the commission of an offense or to avoid detection, it may result in the application of the Obstruction of Justice adjustment. This year, five cases involved encryption or scrambling technology and of those, zero also received an enhancement under §3C1.1.