Table 18

OFFENDERS RECEIVING EACH CHAPTER THREE GUIDELINE ADJUSTMENT¹ Fiscal Year 2014

VICTIM-RELATED	Ν	%
Vulnerable Victim (§3A1.1)		
Vulnerable victim involved	423	0.6
No vulnerable victim involved	67,190	99.3
Hate crime	21	0.0
Vulnerable victim involved and large number of victims	17	0.0
Vulnerable victim involved and hate crime	0	0.0
Vulnerable victim involved, hate crime and large number of victims	0	0.0
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
Official Victim (§3A1.2)		
Official victim involved	27	0.0
Offense against person or involved substantial risk of serious bodily injury	179	0.3
No official victim involved	67,445	99.7
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
Restraint of Victim (§3A1.3)		
Offense involved restraint of victim	82	0.1
Offense did not involve restraint of victim	67,569	99.9
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
Ferrorism (§3A1.4)		
Offense involved a federal crime of terrorism	27	0.0
Offense did not involve a federal crime of terrorism	67,619	100.0
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	5	0.0
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
ROLE IN THE OFFENSE	Ν	%
Aggravating Role (§3B1.1)		
Organizer or leader	1,094	1.6
Manager or supervisor	848	1.3
Lesser organizer, leader, manager, or supervisor	1,351	2.0
No aggravating role	64,358	95.1
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
Mitigating Role (§3B1.2)		
Minimal participant	1,052	1.6
Less than minor role but not minimal	350	0.5
Minor participant	3,771	5.6
No mitigating role	62,478	92.4
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
Abuse of Position of Trust or Use of Special Skill (§3B1.3)		
Offender abused position of trust or used special skill	1,812	2.7
Offender did not abuse position of trust or use special skill	65,839	97.3
TOTAL	67,651	100.0

Table 18 (cont.)

Use of a Minor to Commit a Crime (§3B1.4)		
Offender used a minor	219	0.3
Offender did not use a minor	67,427	99.7
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	5	0.0
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
Use of Body Armor to Commit a Crime (§3B1.5)		
Offender used body armor (+2)	5	0.0
Offender used body armor (+4)	11	0.0
Offender did not use body armor	67,584	99.9
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	51	0.1
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
OBSTRUCTION	Ν	%
Obstruction of Justice (§3C1.1)²		
Offender obstructed justice	1,404	2.1
Offender did not obstruct justice	66,247	97.9
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
Reckless Endangerment During Flight (§3C1.2)		
Offense involved reckless endangerment during flight	499	0.7
Offense did not involve reckless endangerment during flight	67,152	99.3
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	0	0.0
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
Commission of Offense While on Release (§3C1.3)		
Offense involved commission of offense while on release	70	0.1
Offense did not involve commission of offense while on release	67,492	99.8
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	89	0.1
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
False Registration of Domain Name (§3C1.4)		
Offense involved false registration of domain name	1	0.0
Offense did not involve false registration of domain name	67,561	99.9
Offense committed before adjustment added to guidelines	89	0.1
TOTAL	67,651	100.0
ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY	Ν	%
Acceptance of Responsibility (§3E1.1)		
Offender accepted responsibility (-3)	43,430	64.2
Offender accepted responsibility (-2)	21,165	31.3
Offender did not accept responsibility	3,076	4.5
TOTAL	67,671	100.0

¹ Of the 75,836 cases, the Commission received complete guideline application information for 67,672 cases. Of these, 21 cases were excluded from the calculations due to missing Chapter Three adjustment information on vulnerable victim, official victim, restraint of victim, terrorism, aggravating role, mitigating role, abuse of position, use of minor, use of body armor, obstruction of justice, reckless endangerment, commission of offense while on release, false registration of domain name, and one case due to missing information on acceptance of responsibility. Descriptions of each guideline adjustment can be found in USSG Chapter Three.

SOURCE: U.S. Sentencing Commission, 2014 Datafile, USSCFY14.

² When an offender uses computer encryption during the commission of an offense or to avoid detection, it may result in the application of the Obstruction of Justice adjustment. This year, one case involved encryption or scrambling technology and also received an enhancement under §3C1.1.