Table 59

SENTENCING ISSUES APPEALED FOR SELECTED GUIDELINES¹ Fiscal Year 2005

ISSUE	Number	Percent	Affirmance Rate ²
DRUG TRAFFICKING (§2D1.1)	1,257	100.0	66.7
Challenge to weight/amount of drugs involved in the offense	392	31.2	63.0
Application and definition issues	299	23.8	52.2
Question regarding dangerous weapon possession	163	13.0	69.3
Challenge to weight/amount of drugs based on relevant conduct determination	95	7.6	69.5
Application of mandatory minimum statute/21 U.S.C. §§ 841, 846, or 851	81	6.4	90.1
\$2D1.1(b)(4) two-level decrease	14	1.1	92.9
Sentence entrapment/manipulation	11	0.9	100.0
Other issues appealed	202	16.1	78.7
ROLE IN THE OFFENSE GUIDELINES (§§3B1.1, 3B1.2)	372	100.0	78.8
Whether defendant was a minor participant in the offense (§3B1.2)	115	30.9	91.3
Determination that defendant was an organizer or leader of 5 or more (§3B1.1)	75	20.2	76.0
Determination that defendant was an organizer or manager of 5 or less (§3B1.1)	73	19.6	67.1
Determination that defendant was a manager or supervisor of 5 or more (§3B1.1)	39	10.5	71.8
Question regarding application of reduction and level of culpability (§3B1.2)	21	5.6	85.7
Whether defendant was a minimal participant in the offense (§3B1.2)	15	4.0	80.0
Questions regarding application of enhancement and level of culpability (§3B1.1)	12	3.2	91.7
Other issues appealed	22	5.9	59.1
ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY (§3E1.1)	166	100.0	83.7
Application and definition issues	56	33.7	78.6
Challenge to refusal to grant one-level reduction	21	12.7	76.2
Challenge to granting adjustment	21	12.7	81.0
Denial of §3E1.1 because of applicability of §3C1.1	19	11.4	84.2
Challenge to denial of adjustment because of failure to admit conduct	19	11.4	100.0
Other issues appealed	30	18.1	90.0
DEPARTURE GUIDELINES (Chapter 5, Parts H and K)	448	100.0	76.3
Challenge to court's refusal to make downward departure (§5K2.0)	95	21.2	92.6
District court mistakenly believed it had no authority to depart	36	8.0	77.8
Challenge to factors used in making downward departure	28	6.2	39.3
Challenge to government's refusal to make substantial assistance motion (no plea)	23	5.1	91.3
Challenge to the extent of the departure (§5K1.1)	23	5.1	69.6
Challenge to court's refusal to make downward departure for substantial assistance	20	4.5	75.0
Challenge to downward departure - single act of aberrant behavior	13	2.9	61.5
Challenge to the extent of the departure	13	2.9	76.9
Other mitigating circumstances as a basis for departure	13	2.9	53.8
Challenge to factors used in making an upward departure	12	2.7	83.3
Refusal of court to depart based on family ties and responsibilities (§5H1.6)	11	2.5	100.0
Challenge to court's refusal to make downward departure for diminished capacity	11	2.5	100.0
Other issues appealed	150	33.5	70.7

Table 59 (cont.)

ISSUE	Number	Percent	Affirmance Rate ²
CRIMINAL HISTORY GUIDELINES (Chapter 4)	670	100.0	82.8
General determination as career offender (§4B1.1)	89	13.3	82.0
Determination that prior offenses meet criterion set forth in §4B1.1	55	8.2	85.4
Determination that prior offenses meet criterion for Armed Career Criminal (§4B1.4)	51	7.6	82.4
Application of procedures set out in guideline (§4A1.1)	35	5.2	88.6
Definition of prior sentence (§4A1.2)	34	5.1	91.2
Application of career offender definition of "crime of violence" (§4B1.2)	32	4.8	84.4
Downward departure - overrepresented criminal history (§4A1.3)	25	3.7	80.0
Question regarding related cases and calculation of criminal history (§4A1.1)	22	3.3	81.8
Upward departure - category did not reflect seriousness of criminal history (§4A1.3)	17	2.5	82.4
Definition of "related cases" (§4A1.2)	13	1.9	76.9
Defendant's conviction not subject to enhancement under 18 U.S.C. § 924(e) (§4B1.4)	13	1.9	76.9
Other upward departure issues (§4A1.3)	12	1.8	75.0
§4A1.1(c) precludes counting of certain prior sentences	10	1.5	80.0
Other issues appealed	262	39.1	82.1
FRAUD AND DECEIT (§2F1.1 and §2B1.1)	398	100.0	60.0
Challenge to the calculation of loss (§2B1.1)	134	33.7	61.9
Application and definition issues (§2B1.1)	67	16.8	34.3
Challenge to the calculation of loss (§2F1.1)	47	11.8	55.3
Application of specific offense characteristics (§2B1.10	38	9.6	65.8
Application and definition issues (§2F1.1)	17	4.3	84.2
Application of more than minimal planning adjustment (§2B1.1)	16	4.0	56.2
Other issues appealed	79	19.8	72.2
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION OFFENSES (Chapter 2 Part L)	1,086	100.0	85.9
Challenge to "previously deported for an aggravated felony" adjustment (§2L1.2)	402	37.0	89.8
Application and definition issues (§2L1.2)	280	25.8	80.7
Challenge to "previously deported for a felony" adjustment (§2L1.2)	265	24.4	90.2
Application and definition issues (§2L1.1)	30	2.8	60.0
Other issues appealed	109	10.0	81.6
OTHER NON-GUIDELINE ISSUES	2,503	100.0	82.7
Challenge based on <i>Blakely v. Washington</i>	561	22.4	79.9
Challenge based on Apprendi v. New Jersey	555	22.2	92.8
Plea bargain issues/Rule 11 issues	443	17.7	92.8
Specifics unknown	250	10.0	42.8
Rule 35 issues (correction or reduction of sentences)	93	3.7	91.4
Rule 32 issues	40	1.6	75.0
Statement of reasons requirement (18 U.S.C. § 3553(c))	29	1.2	69.0
Other issues appealed	532	21.3	85.2

¹Based on 6,208 "Post-*Booker*" appeals defendants with sentencing as at least one of the reasons for appeal. Information on issues was available in 6,208 of these cases which cited 13,440 issues. Often more than one issue was appealed; consequently, the number of issues is more than the number of defendants. The "Other" category includes all issues appealed fewer than ten times among relevant cases.

²Affirmance rate includes all appeals cases not reversed or directly remanded by the circuit court.

SOURCE: U.S. Sentencing Commission, 2005 Appeals Datafile, APPFY05.