

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

PRESIDENT Brian Cladoosby Swinomish Tribe

FIRST VICE-PRESIDENT Michael Finley Colville Tribes

RECORDING SECRETARY Robert Shepherd Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate

TREASURER **Dennis Welsh, Jr.** *Colorado River Indian Tribes* 

## REGIONAL VICE-PRESIDENTS

ALASKA Jerry Isaac Native Village of Tanacross

EASTERN OKLAHOMA S. Joe Crittenden Cherokee Nation

GREAT PLAINS

Leander McDonald

Spirit Lake Nation

MIDWEST **Aaron Payment** Sault Ste. Marie Band of Chippewa Indians of Michigan

Indians of Michigan
NORTHEAST
Randy Noka

NORTHWEST Fawn Sharp Quinault Indian Nation

Narragansett Tribe

PACIFIC Rosemary Morillo Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians

ROCKY MOUNTAIN Ivan Posey Shoshone Tribe

SOUTHEAST Ron Richardson Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe

SOUTHERN PLAINS Steven Smith Kiowa Tribe

SOUTHWEST Manuel Heart Ute Mountain Ute Tribe

WESTERN Arlan Melendez Reno Sparks Indian Colony

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Jacqueline Johnson Pata

Timoit

NCAI HEADQUARTERS

1516 P Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 202.466.7767 202.466.7797 fax www.ncai.org

## NATIONAL CONGRESS OF AMERICAN INDIANS

October 20, 2014

United States Sentencing Commission Attention: Public Affairs – Tribal Issues Comment One Columbus Circle, NE, Suite 2-500, South Lobby Washington, DC 120002-8002,

## **Submitted Via Email**

RE: US SENTENCING COMMISSION REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON POSSIBLE FORMATION OF TRIBAL ISSUES ADVISORY GROUP

To Whom It May Concern:

On behalf of the National Congress of American Indians (NCAI), the oldest and largest organization representing American Indian and Alaska Native tribal governments, I thank the U.S. Sentencing Commission (USSC) for requesting comment on whether it should establish a Tribal Issues Advisory Group. In general, it is a best practice for any federal entity that deals substantially with issues that impact Indian tribes to have a mechanism for engaging tribal governments and other stakeholders in policy deliberations. Given this, we think the USSC would be well-served by creating a Tribal Issues Advisory Group.

As you know, the federal government plays a unique role in the administration of justice in Indian Country, and the guidelines promulgated by the USSC have a greater impact for tribal defendants and victims than they may have elsewhere. At the same time, criminal jurisdiction in Indian Country is incredibly complex and understanding the issues requires a high level of experience and expertise. A Tribal Issues Advisory Group would be able to examine these issues and offer guidance to the USSC. We would strongly encourage you to include representatives of tribal governments, and in particular tribal criminal justice professionals, on any Advisory Group.

As was outlined in the Request for Comment, there have been a host of recent developments in the administration of justice in tribal communities that are relevant to the work of the USSC. A Tribal Issues Advisory Group should have a broad enough charge to build on the work of the 2002 Native American Advisory Group related to disparities in sentencing, while also examining how actions taken by tribal courts should be treated in the Guidelines. We are particularly concerned that tribal court actions taken in domestic violence cases (both criminal convictions and civil protection orders) are not given the same treatment as actions taken by state courts. We hope that the Tribal Issues Advisory Group would be charged with examining this issue and would include individuals with expertise on violence against women.

We respectfully submit these comments for your consideration. If you have any additional questions, please contact NCAI Senior Policy Advisor Virginia Davis, <a href="mailto:vdavis@ncai.org">vdavis@ncai.org</a> or (202) 466-7767.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Pata Executive Director

tacquelin Johnson Gata